

Which Bible Version is God's Word?

By: Edward Hendrie

One of Satan's favorite methods of attack is through religion. Satan loves religion and infuses his religion with, devil inspired, man made rules. God, on the other hand, hates man made religion. Jesus did not mince words when he expressed his disgust with the religious leaders of the time in Israel, who rebelled against God and set up a religious system based on tradition that was in direct conflict with God's Holy Law.

Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition. *Ye* hypocrites, well did Esaias prophesy of you, saying, This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoureth me with *their* lips; but their heart is far from me. But in vain they do worship me, teaching *for* doctrines the commandments of men. (Matthew 15:6-9 AV)

The religious leaders of Israel set up a whole regimen of rules and traditional practices and in doing so had ignored the wishes of God.

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye pay tithes of mint and anise and cummin, and have omitted the weightier *matters* of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone. *Ye* blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess. *Thou* blind Pharisee, cleanse first that *which is* within the cup and platter, that the outside of them may be clean also. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead *men's* bones, and of all uncleanness. Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisy and iniquity. (Matthew 23:22-28 AV)

The scribes and Pharisees slaved and worked in order to gain followers, but because their religion was not of God but was created by men, not only did their followers end up in hell but so did they.

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men: for ye neither go in *yourselves*, neither suffer ye them that are entering to go in. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows' houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation. Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves. (Matthew 23:13-15 AV)

Just as Satan did with the Jews, he has so done today. Only today Satan has created a religion that is a counterfeit to Christianity. The apex of this counterfeit Christianity is found in the Roman Catholic Church. The Roman Catholic Church has taken up the torch of disobedience with fleshly Israel and has continued the conspiracy against God. This book will establish beyond all reasonable doubt that the Roman Catholic Church is the seat of the antichrist and is a principal in a conspiracy against Christ. The Roman Catholic doctrines are not just a phony substitute for Christianity, they are the antithesis of Christianity, they are against the doctrines of Christ. Satan, however, has seduced the world into believing that Roman Catholicism is the religion of Christ. In fact, though, it is the religion of the antichrist.

Paul warned the early disciples about the wolves in sheep's clothing that would creep into the church. The real threat to God's church is not from outside the church but from inside the church.

For I know this, that after my departing shall grievous wolves enter in among you, not sparing the flock. Also of your own selves shall men arise, speaking perverse things, to draw away disciples after them. (Acts 20:29-30 AV)

God has appointed a place for such religious deceivers. They will be cast out into outer darkness where there is weeping and gnashing of teeth. (Matthew 8:12, 24:51).

1. God's Word

How is one to know if a religious leader is a man of God or a man of sin? One way is to look at whether he bears good fruit or evil fruit. What is meant by fruit? God tells us in the Holy Bible that the fruit of a person is what he speaks: "A good man out of the good treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is good; and an evil man out of the evil treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is evil: **for of the abundance of the heart his mouth speaketh.**" (Luke 6:45 AV)

Without God's word, though, it is not possible to distinguish the good fruit from the evil fruit. If moral distinctions are going to be made between good and evil it is necessary to look to the book written by the creator of all things, good and evil.

Jesus admonished the people of the world to not simply call him Lord, but to also do what he says. (Luke 6:46 AV) In order, however, to do what he says, we must first know his words contained in his Holy Bible. One should follow the example of the Bereans and compare any religious doctrine with the word of God. The Bereans were viewed by God as more noble than others because they searched the scriptures to check to see if Paul and Silas were correct in their doctrine. *See Acts 17:10-11.*

God's word is unique because it is God's revelation of Him to man. The Holy Bible states that:

In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and **the Word was God**. The same was in the beginning with God. **All things were made by him;** and without him was not any thing made that was made. (John 1:1-3 AV)

In whom we have redemption through his blood, *even* the forgiveness of sins: Who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of every creature: **For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether *they be* thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him: And he is before all things, and by him all things consist.** (Colossians 1:14-17 AV)

The gospel found in John states that God (the Word, the Creator) came to earth in the flesh: Jesus Christ.

And the **Word was made flesh**, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth. (John 1:14 AV)

In the Holy Bible God the Father makes it clear that his Son, Jesus, is God.

But unto the **Son *he saith***, Thy throne, **O God**, *is* for ever and ever: a sceptre of righteousness *is* the sceptre of thy kingdom. (Hebrews 1:8 AV)

The Holy Bible is not like any other book, it is unique, it was written by God through men.

All scripture *is* given by inspiration of God, and *is* profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: (2 Timothy 3:16 AV)

Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but **holy men of God spake *as they were moved by the Holy Ghost***. (2 Peter 1:20-21 AV)

Which things also **we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth**; comparing spiritual things with spiritual. But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know *them*, because **they are spiritually discerned**. (1 Corinthians 2:13-14 AV)

2. Creation and Salvation Through God's Word

In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth. How did he create? He created

by speaking. **“God said . . . and it was so.”** See Genesis 1:1-2:25. “Through faith we understand that the worlds were framed by the word of God, so that things which are seen were not made of things which do appear.” (Hebrews 11:3 AV)

By the word of the LORD were the heavens made; and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth. He gathereth the waters of the sea together as an heap: he layeth up the depth in storehouses. Let all the earth fear the LORD: let all the inhabitants of the world stand in awe of him. **For he spake, and it was done; he commanded, and it stood fast.** (Psalms 33:6-9 AV)

The Holy Bible clearly states that God not only created through his Word, he also eternally saves through his Word.

Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever. For all flesh *is* as grass, and all the glory of man as the flower of grass. The grass withereth, and the flower thereof falleth away: But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you. (1 Peter 1:23-25 AV)

And that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus. (2 Timothy 3:15 AV)

For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved. How then shall they call on him in whom they have not believed? and **how shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher?** And how shall they preach, except they be sent? as it is written, How beautiful are the feet of them that preach the gospel of peace, and bring glad tidings of good things! But they have not all obeyed the gospel. For Esaias saith, Lord, who hath believed our report? **So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God.** (Romans 10:13-17 AV)

3. God Preserves His Word

God’s word is the way to salvation. God would not leave us without the means for our salvation. The following scripture passages testify that God has promised that his word will be preserved forever.

For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled. (Matthew 5:18 AV)

Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away. (Matthew 24:35 AV)

The words of the LORD *are* pure words: *as* silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. **Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.** (Psalms 12:6-7 AV)

[T]he word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you. (1 Peter 1:25 AV)

The grass withereth, the flower fadeth: but **the word of our God shall stand for ever.** (Isaiah 40:8 AV)

For ever, O LORD, thy word is settled in heaven. (Psalms 119:89 AV)

4. The Roman Catholic Attack on God's Word

Satan knows that the word of God is the way to salvation. Satan also knows that God has promised to preserve his words, and so it would be futile for him to try to destroy God's words. Therefore, instead of trying to destroy God's words, Satan instituted a two prong strategy to keep the Holy Scriptures from the people. The first prong of the strategy was to outlaw the possession and reading of the Holy Bible. When, over the years, that strategy proved ineffective, Satan instituted his second prong, which is to deny that God has preserved his words and offer counterfeit bibles to the world and to deceive people into believing his counterfeits are the closest that they can get to God's genuine word.

The Roman Church knows that if the people are able to read for themselves God's word they will discover that the Catholic traditions and doctrines are not just in addition to the Scriptures, they violate the Scriptures. The Catholic Church has a long history of trying to keep God's word from the people. For example, at the *Council of Terragona* in 1234 A.D. the Roman Catholic Church prohibited anyone from possessing any part of the Old or New Testaments in any of the Romance languages (Portuguese, Spanish, Catalan, Provencal, French, Rhaeto-Romance, Italian, Sardinian, and Romanian). The council ruled that anyone owning a Bible was to turn it over to the local Catholic bishop to be burned. In 1229 at the *Council of Toulouse* (Pope Gregory IX presiding), the Catholic Church prohibited "laymen" from having the Holy Scriptures or translating them into the "vulgar tongue" (common language of the country). In 1551 the Catholic *Inquisitional Index of Valentia* forbade the Holy Bible to be translated into Spanish or any other "vernacular." In 1559 the Roman Catholic *Index Librorum Prohibitorum* (Index of Prohibited Books) required permission from the Catholic Church to read the Catholic version of the Bible; all Christian Bible versions were simply prohibited. On September 8, 1713, Pope Clement XI issued his Dogmatic Constitution, *Unigenitus*, which in part condemned as error the teaching that all people may read the Sacred Scripture. On May 5, 1824 Pope Leo XII issued his encyclical *Ubi Primum* which exhorted the bishops to remind their flocks not to read the Bible. On May 24, 1829 Pope Pius VIII issued the encyclical *Traditi Humilitati*, which exhorted Catholics to check the spread of Bibles translated into the vernacular, because those Bibles endangered the "sacred" teachings of the Catholic Church. On May 8, 1844, Pope

Gregory XVI issued his encyclical *Inter Praecipuas* in which he described Bible societies as plotting against the Catholic faith by providing Bibles to the common people, whom he referred to as “infidels.” On January 25, 1897 Pope Leo XIII issued his Apostolic Constitution *Officiorum ac Munerum* which prohibited all versions of the Bible in the vernacular tongue. The 1918 Catholic Code of Canon Law, Index of Prohibited Books, Canon 1385, § 1 prohibited publishing any edition of the Holy Scriptures without previous Catholic “ecclesiastical censorship.” The 1983 Catholic Code of Canon Law, Canon 825, § 1 prohibits the publishing of the Sacred Scriptures without the permission of the Apostolic See or the Conference of Bishops.

The official doctrines of the Catholic Church prohibiting the publication, possession, or reading of the Holy Bible, were not a mere suggestions, they were enforced. For example, on October 6, 1536 at Vilvorde (outside Brussels, Belgium) William Tyndale was burned at the stake.¹ His crime was that he translated the Holy Scriptures into English and was making copies available to the people in violation of the rules of the Roman Catholic Church.²

The progenitors of the Catholic Church were around in the time of the apostles, wresting the Holy Scriptures from the people.

And account *that* the longsuffering of our Lord *is* salvation; even as our beloved brother Paul also according to the wisdom given unto him hath written unto you; As also in all *his* epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, **which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as *they do* also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction.** Ye therefore, beloved, seeing ye know *these things* before, beware lest ye also, being led away with the error of the wicked, fall from your own stedfastness. (2 Peter 3:15-17 AV)

5. Satan’s Counterfeit Bibles

With the advent of the printing press (circa 1455) making Bibles available to the ordinary man, it became obvious to Satan that he could not keep God’s word from the masses, so he instituted the second prong of his attack on God’s word in earnest. He offered counterfeit bibles. The Holy Scriptures reveal a pattern by Satan from the beginning to tamper with God’s word. God commanded Adam not to eat from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, **Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.** (Genesis 2:16-17 AV)

In *Genesis* 3:1-5 the serpent misquotes God, changing God’s words; he tricks Eve into eating from the tree of knowledge of good and evil by asking her if God commanded that they

not eat of any of the trees in the garden. When Eve responds, she also misquotes God, saying that he commanded that they should not touch the fruit, when God merely prohibited the eating of the fruit. God told Adam that if he ate from the tree “thou shalt surely die.” Once Satan perceived that Eve was ignorant of God’s true words he felt confident that he could convince Eve to disobey God by subtly misquoting what God had said. Satan took the warning by God and added one word. Satan said to Eve: “Ye shall **not** surely die.” What Satan said sounded authoritative. It sounded almost like what God had said; but that one word corrupted God’s word and turned it from the words of God to the words of Satan. The result of the corruption by Satan of God’s word was the greatest tragedy in history, the fall of Adam and Eve!

Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, **Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden?** And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which *is* in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, **neither shall ye touch it**, lest ye die. And the serpent said unto the woman, **Ye shall not surely die:** For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. (Genesis 3:1-5 AV)

In apparent reference to Satan’s corruption of God’s word in the Garden of Eden, Jesus admonished Satan: “That man shall not live by bread alone, but by **every word** of God.” (Luke 4:4 AV) Just as Satan did in the Garden of Eden, he now tries to confuse people about what God has said: “Yea, hath God said” Pediatrician Dr. Lawrence Dunegan attended a lecture on March 20, 1969 at a gathering of pediatricians at a meeting of the Pittsburgh Pediatric Society. The lecturer at that meeting was a Dr. Richard Day (who died in 1989). At the time of the lecture Dr. Day was Professor of Pediatrics at Mount Sinai Medical School in New York. Previously, Dr. Day had served as Medical Director of Planned Parenthood Federation of America. Dr. Dunegan was well acquainted with Dr. Day and described him as an insider in the “order.” Dr. Dunegan did not explain what the “order” was, but from the lecture it was clear that it was a very powerful secret society made up of minions in service to Satan. During the lecture Dr. Day revealed many of the satanic plans that the members of the “order” had agreed upon that would change the United States from a Christian society to a pagan society. One of the strategies was to introduce new bible versions. By the time of the lecture in 1969, that strategy had long previously been implemented. Dr. Day was indicating that the final success of that strategy was in sight as henceforth it would be implemented with new vigor. Dr. Dunegan explains:

Another area of discussion was Religion. This is an avowed atheist speaking. And he [Dr. Day] said, "Religion is not necessarily bad. A lot of people seem to need religion, with it's mysteries and rituals - so they will have religion. But the major religions of today have to be changed because they are not compatible with the changes to come. The old religions will have to go. Especially Christianity. Once the Roman Catholic Church is brought down, the rest of Christianity will follow easily. Then a new religion can be accepted for use all over the world. It will

incorporate something from all of the old ones to make it more easy for people to accept it, and feel at home in it. Most people won't be too concerned with religion. They will realize that they don't need it.

In order to this, the Bible will be changed. It will be rewritten to fit the new religion. Gradually, key words will be replaced with new words having various shades of meaning. Then the meaning attached to the new word can be close to the old word - and as time goes on, other shades of meaning of that word can be emphasized. and then gradually that word replaced with another word." I don't know if I'm making that clear. But the idea is that everything in Scripture need not be rewritten, just key words replaced by other words. And the variability in meaning attached to any word can be used as a tool to change the entire meaning of Scripture, and therefore make it acceptable to this new religion. Most people won't know the difference; and this was another one of the times where he said, "the few who do notice the difference won't be enough to matter."³

In accordance with the aforementioned conspiracy, Satan and his minions now offer people a whole assortment of different bible versions, which change and twist God's word. God's word is with us today in the Authorized (King James) Version (referred to as AV or KJV). All other bible versions are tainted by the hands of Satan and his minions, including the New King James Version (NKJV). "Ye have perverted the words of the living God, of the LORD of hosts our God." *Jeremiah 23:36*. The corrupted bible versions are essentially Roman Catholic bible versions.⁴ Sadly, most of the so called church leaders of today have accepted Satan's counterfeit bibles.

The following is a partial list of the fraudulent bible versions: New International Version (NIV), Contemporary English Version (CEV), New Century Version (NCV), New World Translation (NWT), American Standard Version (ASV), New American Standard Bible (NASB), Revised Version (RV), Revised Standard Version (RSV), New Revised Standard Version (NRSV), Amplified Version (AMP), New King James Version (NKJV), 21st Century King James Version (KJ21), Third Millennium Bible (TMB), Douay-Rheims Version (DRV), Good News for Modern Man (GNB), Today's English Version (TEV), Living Bible (LB), Darby Translation (DBY), Jerusalem Bible (JB), and New Jerusalem Bible (NJB).

The Authorized (King James) Version is an English translation of the Masoretic (traditional) Hebrew Old Testament, whereas the new bible versions are taken from an inferior and corrupted mixture of the Septuagint (Greek Old Testament), Samaritan Pentateuch, Dead Sea Scrolls, and a variety of other transcripts. The corrupt Septuagint used today was translated by Origen (185-254 A.D.), who was a unitarian evolutionist.⁵ Origen believed in reincarnation and denied the existence of hell.⁶

There are approximately 4,489 Greek New Testament manuscripts known to be extant today.⁷ Of these, 170 are papyrus fragments dating from the second to the seventh centuries;

there are 212 uncial (capital letter) manuscripts, dating from the fourth to the tenth centuries; there are 2,429 minuscule (small letter) manuscripts, dating from the ninth to the sixteenth centuries; and there are 1,678 lectionaries, which are lesson books for public reading that contain extracts from the New Testament.⁸ The vast majority of these manuscripts are in agreement and make up what is known as the *Textus Receptus* (received text). There has been a recent discovery of a small fragment of the earliest known New Testament manuscript not included in the above tally, which was dated to 66 A.D. and is in agreement with the *Textus Receptus*. The King James New Testament is based upon the Greek *Textus Receptus*, whereas the new translations are based upon a very few number of corrupt manuscripts including the Roman Catholic Greek texts *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus*, and a few other texts, the origins of which are a mystery.

The manuscript *Sinaiticus*, which is often referred to by the first letter of the Hebrew alphabet, *Aleph*, is written in book form (codex) on velum.⁹ It contains many spurious books such as the Shepherd of Hermes, the Didache, and the Epistle of Barnabas.¹⁰ *Sinaiticus* was discovered in a waste basket in St. Catherine's monastery on Mount Sinai in February of 1859.¹¹ *Sinaiticus* is covered with alterations that are systematically spread over every page and were made by at least ten different revisors.¹² The alterations are obvious to anyone who examines the manuscript.¹³ Most of the revisions to the text were made in the sixth or seventh century.¹⁴

The manuscript *Vaticanus*, often referred to by the letter "B," originated in the Vatican library, hence the name.¹⁵ *Vaticanus* was first revealed in 1841; where the transcript had been prior to that date is unclear.¹⁶ One thing this is clear is that the manuscript omits many portions of scripture which explain vital Christian doctrines. *Vaticanus* omits Genesis 1:1 through Genesis 46:28; Psalms 106 through 138; Matthew 16:2,3; Romans 16:24; the Pauline Epistles; Revelation; and everything in Hebrews after 9:14.¹⁷ It should not be surprising that the Vatican would produce a manuscript that omits the portion of the book of Hebrews which exposes the mass as completely ineffectual and deletes Revelation chapter 17, which reveals Rome as the seat of "MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH." Notice that the two primary manuscripts used by the new bible versions were found in the care and custody of the Roman Catholic Church.

The *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus* manuscripts, which make up less than one percent of the existing ancient manuscripts, differ significantly from the Received Text. *Vaticanus* omits at least 2,877 words; it adds 536 words; it substitutes 935 words; it transposes 2,098 words; and it modifies 1,132 words; making a total of 7,578 verbal divergences from the Received Text. *Sinaiticus* is an even worse corruption, having almost 9,000 divergences from the Received Text.¹⁸

John Burgon, Dean of Westminster and the preeminent Greek textual scholar of his time, said the following about the *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus* manuscripts.

The impurity of the text exhibited by these codices is not a question of opinion but

of fact. . . . In the Gospels alone Codex B (Vatican) leaves out words or whole clauses no less than 1,491 times. It bears traces of careless transcription on every page. Codex Sinaiticus abounds with errors of the eye and pen to an extent not indeed unparalleled, but happily rather unusual in documents of first-rate importance. On many occasions, 10, 20, 30, 40 words are dropped through very carelessness. Letters and words, even whole sentences, are frequently written twice over, or begun and immediately cancelled; while that gross blunder, whereby a clause is omitted because it happens to end in the same words as a clause preceding, occur is no less than 115 times in the New Testament.¹⁹

The Vaticanus and Sinaiticus manuscripts are so clearly corrupt that Dean Burgon was at a loss to explain textual scholars accepting them as valid. He concluded that those manuscripts have “established a tyrannical ascendancy over the imagination of the critics which can only be fitly spoken of as blind superstition.”²⁰ The following is Dean Burgon’s assessment of the new Greek text, which was produced largely from the *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus* manuscripts, and which underlies the new bible versions.

[T]he Greek Text which they have invented proves to be hopelessly depraved throughout . . . [I]t was deliberately invented . . . [T]he underlying Greek . . . is an entirely new thing, is a manufactured article throughout. . . . The new Greek text was full of errors from beginning to end. . . . Shame on [those] most incompetent men who - finding themselves in a evil hour occupied themselves . . . with falsifying the inspired Greek Text . . . Who will venture to predict the amount of mischief which must follow, if the ‘New’ Greek Text . . . should become used.²¹

The Latin translation of the bible is called the Latin Vulgate. Incidentally, the Catholic Church used Jerome to pull a switch. The Latin text that is today called the Latin Vulgate is very different from the traditional Latin Vulgate. Jerome used corrupted Greek texts from Alexandria, which he translated into Latin, he then added 14 apocryphal books; the Catholic Church called Jerome’s new Latin translation the Latin Vulgate.²² This corrupted Latin Vulgate text is the official bible text for the Catholic Church and was the source text for the Jesuit Douay-Rheims English translation of the bible.

How did the new versions of the bible become so corrupted? The personalities behind the new texts have an occult new age agenda. The compilers and translators of the new editions aren’t just unchristian they are antichristian. The compilers of the corrupted Greek text used in virtually all of the new bible versions were Brooke Foss Westcott and Fenton John Anthony Hort. They were nominal Protestants, but they were defacto Roman Catholics. Hort denied the infallibility of the Holy Scriptures, he did not believe in the existence of Satan, he did not believe in eternal punishment in Hell, nor did he believe in Christ’s atonement.²³ Hort, however, did believe in Darwin’s theory of evolution, he believed in purgatory, and he also believed in baptismal regeneration.²⁴ Hort hated the United States and wished for its destruction during the civil war, because he was a communist who hated all things democratic.²⁵

Westcott was equally Romish in his beliefs.²⁶ He, like Hort, rejected the infallibility of the Holy Scriptures.²⁷ He viewed the Genesis account of creation as merely an allegory.²⁸ He did not believe the biblical account of the miracles of Jesus.²⁹ He did, however, believe in praying for the dead and worshipping Mary.³⁰ Politically, Westcott was a devout Socialist.³¹

Westcott and Hort were both necromancers who were members of an occult club called the “Ghostly Guild.”³² Westcott also founded another club and named it “Hermes.”³³ According to Luciferian H.P. Blavatsky, Hermes and Satan are one and the same.³⁴ Hort viewed evangelical Christians as dangerous, perverted, unsound, and confused.³⁵ Westcott and Hort’s Greek text was largely based on the fraudulent Catholic texts *Vaticanus* and *Sinaiticus*.³⁶

Assisting Westcott and Hort in their revision was Dr. G. Vance, a Unitarian, who denied the deity of Christ, the inspiration of the Holy Scriptures, and the Godhead (Jesus Christ, God the Father, and the Holy Ghost).³⁷ Jesuit Roman Catholic Cardinal Carlo Maria Martini, the prelate of Milan, was the editor of the corrupted Greek text.³⁸ Martini believed the occult new age philosophy that man can become divine.³⁹ Remember, that is the very lie that Satan used to deceive Eve into eating the forbidden fruit: “ye shall be as gods.” *Genesis* 3:5.

In addition, the new bible versions use a method of translation known as dynamic equivalence, rather than the formal equivalence used in the Authorized Version (AV), which is also known as the King James Version (KJV). Formal equivalence is a word for word translation, whereas dynamic equivalence is a thought for thought translation. A translator using dynamic equivalence is less a translator and more an interpreter. Thus, the new versions of bibles should more accurately be called interpretations, rather than translations. The dynamic equivalent interpreters of the new bible versions have often made unfounded assumptions as to the meaning of particular passage. Rather than translate what God wrote, they have, with some frequency, twisted passages by injecting their own personal bias. Some of these interpreters have displayed malicious intent and caused great mischief.

The subjective bias of the interpreters have caused changes in the new version English bibles that are not supported by any of Greek or Hebrew texts. For example, dynamic equivalencies caused 6,653 English word changes in the New International Version (NIV), approximately 4,000 word changes in the New American Standard Bible (NASB), and approximately 2,000 word changes in the New King James Version (NKJV), none of which are supported by the words in any of the Greek or Hebrew texts.⁴⁰ Those word changes reflect the subjective bias of the interpreters. The combined effect of having a corrupted text and then having that text interpreted using dynamic equivalence has been that the NIV has 64,098 fewer words than the AV.⁴¹ That is a 10% loss in the bible. That means that an NIV bible would have 170 fewer pages than a typical 1,700 page AV bible.⁴² The new versions of the bible are materially different; they are the product of the imaginations of interpreters who have applied their personal prejudices to slant already corrupted texts to comport with their own ideas. They are truly counterfeit bibles.

The Holy Bible is a legal document prepared by God. It contains the Old and New

Testaments of Jesus Christ. A testament is a memorialization of the will of a testator. It only has legal effect once the testator has died. The New Testament, in reality, is the last will and testament of Jesus Christ.

And for this cause he is the mediator of the new testament, that by means of death, for the redemption of the transgressions *that were* under the first testament, they which are called might receive the promise of eternal inheritance. For where a testament *is*, there must also of necessity be the death of the testator. **For a testament is of force after men are dead: otherwise it is of no strength at all while the testator liveth.** (Hebrews 9:15-17 AV)

A testator he is free to change the testament and add to it. That is what Jesus did when he added the New Testament to the Old Testament. “By so much was Jesus made a surety of a better testament.” (Hebrews 7:22 AV) However, it is only the testator who is allowed to change or add to a testament. If anyone else adds to or changes a testament, the changes make the resulting document a forgery.

When trying to determine the meaning of a last will and testament, courts always try to interpret what is the will of the testator. That is why a person’s testament is called a will. If a will is to be translated from one language to another, because the heirs or the court speak a different language, courts always use formal equivalence because it is important that the heirs know exactly what the testator said. In fact, a translator must take an oath to faithfully translate the will of the testator. It is important not to allow any bias from a translator to affect what is the meaning of the words used. If a court allowed dynamic equivalence to be used when translating a last will and testament then the court would not be interpreting the will of the testator; the interpretation would have already been done by the translator of the document when he interpreted the meaning of each passage. The judge would be stuck with a document which has been injected with meaning by the translator. The judge would, in effect, be interpreting the intent of the testator intermixed with the intent of the translator. The final verdict regarding the intent of the testator would be corrupted by the bias or errors of the translator.

In the case of the Holy Bible, it is the New and Old Testaments of God Almighty. They are the most important legal documents ever written. God Almighty is the testator. He wrote both testaments. In addition, he created the languages into which his original testaments would be written. He also created the languages into which those testaments would be translated. Genesis 11:7-9. He has supernaturally controlled the process from beginning to end. **“All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness.”** (2 Timothy 3:16 AV) In addition, he has promised to supernaturally preserve his testaments. **“[T]he word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you.”** (1 Peter 1:25 AV) The heirs of Christ are Christians. “The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God: And if children, then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ; if so be that we suffer with *him*, that we may be also glorified together.” (Romans 8:16-17 AV)

In order for Christ's heirs to understand his will they must have a faithful translation. If his heirs try to interpret God's will by using a translation that contains not the pure intent of God, but instead the intent of the translator, then they can no longer determine God's will. A will that has been rewritten and corrupted with the thoughts of one other than the testator, it is considered a forgery and a fraud. So also are the new translations of the bible forgeries and frauds.

Defenders of the new bibles claim that the essential doctrines of the Christian Faith are expressed in the new bibles, even though they have been deleted or changed in many passages. James H. Son, author of *The New Athenians*, likened the logic of that argument to removing a stop sign from a busy street intersection and then justifying the removal because the other traffic signals in the city were left intact. Even though the sign only contained one word, that word is of critical importance to those who arrive at the intersection, just as each word in the Holy Bible is of critical importance to those who are reading it. God has made the point in the Holy Bible that **every word** of God is important. "And Jesus answered him, saying, It is written, That man shall not live by bread alone, **but by every word of God.**" (Luke 4:4 AV) Incidentally, the doctrine of Luke 4:4 is missing in the new bible versions. The NASB, for example leaves out the last clause and simply states: "And Jesus answered him, 'it is written, MAN SHALL NOT LIVE ON BREAD ALONE.'" (Luke 4:4 NASB) The new versions leave the reader in ignorance as to what it is other than bread by which man lives.

And he humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger, and fed thee with manna, which thou knewest not, neither did thy fathers know; that he might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but **by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of the LORD doth man live.** (Deuteronomy 8:3 AV)

Every word of God is pure: he *is* a shield unto them that put their trust in him. (Proverbs 30:5 AV)

Look at the passage in Galatians 3:16, wherein God points out the importance of every one of his words. In that passage God explains the importance of the distinction between the singular word "seed" and the plural word "seeds."

Now to Abraham and his **seed** were the promises made. **He saith not, And to seeds,** as of many; but as of one, And to thy seed, which is Christ. (Galatians 3:16 AV)

If one looks at the AV passages that refer to the promises made to Abraham, one sees that in fact God refers to Abraham's "seed," singular. In the NIV, however, the passages that prophesy the blessings that were to flow from Abraham's seed, Jesus Christ, are changed and obscured. If one were to try to find the passages referred to in Galatians 3:16 in the NIV one would not be able to do so, because the NIV does not use the word chosen by God but has substituted words chosen by man as inspired by Satan.

AV

And in thy **seed** shall all the nations of the earth be blessed; because thou hast obeyed my voice. (Genesis 22:18 AV)

NIV

[A]nd through your **offspring** all nations on earth will be blessed, because you have obeyed me. (Genesis 22:18 NIV)

AV

And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy **seed** after thee in their generations for an everlasting covenant, to be a God unto thee, and to thy **seed** after thee. (Genesis 17:7 AV)

NIV

I will establish my covenant as an everlasting covenant between me and you and your **descendants** after you for the generations to come, to be your God and the God of your **descendants** after you. (Genesis 17:7 NIV)

It is important for God's heirs to know who they are. His heirs are those who have the faith of Abraham, not those that have the flesh of Abraham.

Even as Abraham believed God, and it was accounted to him for righteousness. Know ye therefore that they which are of faith, the same are the children of Abraham. And the scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through faith, preached before the gospel unto Abraham, *saying*, In thee shall all nations be blessed. **So then they which be of faith are blessed with faithful Abraham.** (Galatians 3:6-9 AV)

This point is understood by the passage in Galatians 3:16 that explains what is meant by the precise word "seed" used in the Old Testament. **"And if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise."** (Galatians 3:29 AV)

Without the precise word "seed" the meaning of the will of God can be misinterpreted to support false doctrines like that pretribulation rapture fraud, which makes Christ's church a mere parenthesis in history. Under the pretribulation rapture corruption, fleshly Israel is to inherit the promises of God; contrary to God's express intent that it is those who are chosen and justified by his sovereign grace who are his heirs and not those who are born of the flesh of Abraham. **"That being justified by his grace, we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life."** (Titus 3:7 AV)

Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they *are* not all Israel, which are of Israel: Neither, because they are the seed of Abraham, *are they* all children: but, In Isaac shall thy seed be called. That is, **They which are the children of the flesh, these are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed.**" (Romans 9:6-8 AV)

That is one example of a false doctrine that is supported by the change of just one word. There are other false doctrines that have sprung from other corrupt changes to God's word in the

new bible versions.

The promoters of the new bible versions claim that they are merely updating the archaic English in the King James Bible. They are being disingenuous. The Holy Bible is a legal document. The English of the King James Bible is not archaic, it is precise. The precise language used has eternal importance. Thee, thou, thy, and thine are singular pronouns. Thou is the subjective second person singular, thee is the objective second person singular, and thy and thine are possessive second person singular. Ye is a subjective second person plural pronoun. In the King James text the precision of the language puts the reader in the midst of the narrative. The reader is able to tell whether the person is the object of the action or the subject causing the action. The reader can also tell if the subject or object is a group or an individual. The new versions use either the pronouns "you" or "your" for all of the narratives and the reader is not able to know anything about the setting of the narrative. All one need do is read Galatians 3:16 to know that singularity and plurality are important to God.

The writers of the Authorized (King James) Version (AV) did not use the more precise pronouns because that was the customary language of the 16th century, they purposely used those words because they wanted to accurately and faithfully translate God's word into English. To prove the point, all one need do is read the dedicatory at the beginning of the Holy Bible (AV); the dedicatory was written at the completion of the AV Holy Bible in 1611 A.D., not once was thee, thou, thy, thine, or ye used in the dedicatory.

One of the arguments used by the promoters of the new versions is that the new versions are easier to read than the King James Bible. Some Bible passages are hard to understand, but that is no excuse to change the meaning of the passages just to make them more readable. Dr. Donald Waite said it best: "Some people say they like a particular version because they say it's more readable. Now, readability is one thing, but does the readability conform to what's in the original Greek and Hebrew language? You can have a lot of readability, but if it doesn't match up with what God has said, it's of no profit. In the King James Bible, the words match what God has said. You may say it's difficult to read, but study it out. It's hard in the Hebrew and Greek and, perhaps, even in the English in the King James Bible. But to change it around just to make it simple, or interpreting it, instead of translating it, is wrong. You've got lots of interpretation, but we don't want that in a translation. We want exactly what God said in the Hebrew and Greek brought over into English."⁴³ Besides, it is simply not true that the new bible versions are easier to read. According to a readability study the AV reads at the 5th grade level, whereas the NKJV and NASB read at the 6th grade level and the NIV reads at the 8th grade level.⁴⁴ When reading the Holy Bible one should understand that "the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know *them*, because they are spiritually discerned." (1 Corinthians 2:14 AV) If a passage is hard to understand pray for understanding and study the Bible for the answer. Let God's word explain God's word.

God has promised to preserve his word forever (Psalms 12:6-7), that not one jot nor one tittle will pass from his law (Matthew 5:18), and that heaven and earth will pass away but his

words will never pass away (Matthew 24:35). The promoters of the new bible versions call God a liar. They assert that God's word has not been preserved. They admit that they don't know which version is truly God's word. If you ask them to present God's word, they will tell you that parts of his word are lost forever, but that they can come up with a text that they will try to convince you comes close to God's word. But God has stated emphatically: "[T]he word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you." (1 Peter 1:25 AV) "[L]et God be true, but every man a liar." (Romans 3:4 AV)

S. Franklin Longsdon was assigned by Dewey Lockman of the Lockman Foundation to write the guidelines for the translation of the NASB. Longsdon prepared the guidelines, but after much study and prayer he wrote to Lockman that the NASB was terribly wrong and renounced any attachment to the NASB version of the bible.⁴⁵

The most popular version of the new bibles is the New International Version (NIV). Dr. Virginia Mollenkott, the textual style editor for the NIV, is an admitted lesbian.⁴⁶ The Chairman of the NIV Old Testament Committee, Dr. Woudstra, was considered to be sympathetic to the interests and practices of sodomites. The NIV chief editor vaunted the fact that the NIV showed that it is a great error to believe that in order to be born again one has to have faith in Jesus as Savior. He also thought that few clear and decisive Bible texts express that Jesus is God.⁴⁷

Rupert Murdoch owns the exclusive rights to the NIV.⁴⁸ Murdoch has been described as an internationalist and a pornographer.⁴⁹ *Time* magazine called Murdoch one of the four most powerful people in the world, and for good reason, he has a media empire that includes Twentieth Century Fox, Fox Television, cable television providers, satellites, and newspapers and television stations throughout America, Europe, and Asia.⁵⁰ The pope bestowed upon Murdoch the title of "Knight Commander of St. Gregory" for promoting the interests of the Roman Catholic Church.⁵¹

The New King James Version (NKJV) and the 21st Century King James Version (KJ21) are particularly misleading. They try to trade on the accuracy of the Authorized (King James) Version of the Holy Bible (AV) by putting King James in their titles. They claim that their bibles are simply updates of the King James. Their copyright, however, gives them away. What they don't tell the public is that in order to obtain a copyright on a book that is in the public domain, as is the King James Bible, they are required to make substantial revision to the text, such that it can be clearly distinguishable from the original. Essentially, it must be a new literary work. Otherwise, the publisher of the revision cannot claim a copyright. The NKJV and the KJ21 are both copyrighted books; which means they must be substantially different from the King James Bible. Yet, in order to sell the new bible they tell the public that it is really the same as the old King James Bible, that they have simply updated the archaic language in order to make it more readable.

The publishers of the NKJV and the KJ21 versions are being disingenuous when they claim that their new versions are not new at all, but just easier to read updates of the original

Authorized (King James) Version (AV). The NKJV made over 100,000 word changes from the AV, deleting 2,289 words from the New Testament alone. The NKJV removed the word “Lord” 66 times, removed the word “God” 51 times, and removed the word “Heaven” 50 times. Yet, Nelson publications has the nerve to advertise that "Nothing has been changed except to make the original meaning clearer."⁵²

The KJ21 publishers claim that:

The 21st Century King James Version (KJ21®) is neither a new translation nor a revision, but an updating of the King James Version (KJV) of A.D. 1611. While no attempt has been made to "improve" the timeless message or literary style of the KJV, words which are either obsolete or archaic, and are no longer understood by literate Bible readers, have been replaced by carefully selected current equivalents.⁵³

The KJ21 publishers state that in order to maintain the accuracy and keep the KJ21 faithful to the original AV they even kept the thees and thous, etc. They have, in fact, made many unnecessary changes to the text, which make their bible less clear and understandable. If one reads the text of the KJ21, one sees that conjunctions are added when unnecessary and the word order is changed in passages, not to make the passages clearer, but so that the revision is considered substantially different from the King James Bible. They had to make substantial changes in order to obtain a copyright on the publication. The KJ21 is, quite simply, about making money. The publishers are not telling the truth when they claim that the KJ21 is not a revision but only an update. George Shafer did a computer check of the verses in the four Gospels, comparing the KJ21 with the original AV. He discovered that the KJ21 modified 2,200 of the 3,779 verses.⁵⁴ That is a change in approximately 60% of the verses in the four gospels. Why did they make so many changes, when they claimed to have only updated it? Remember, they must make substantial changes in order to get a copyright, but they also want to sell their corrupted bibles.

The KJ21 claims in their preface: “The *KJ21®* is unique among modern Bibles in that it is closer in language to the original King James Version than any other Bible copyrighted in the twentieth century. Unlike all other modern Bibles, it alone retains the power, beauty, and poetic language of the glorious King James Version.”⁵⁵ The KJ21 publishers are saying that they have changed the powerful and beautiful King James Bible to a lesser degree than other copyrighted new bible versions. The KJ21 publishers seem to be admitting that the leaven of changes to the King James Bible are for the worse, so they made fewer of them. “A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump.” (Galatians 5:9 AV) All it takes is a little poison to poison a well. These new bible versions are spiritual poison.

The publishers of both the KJ21 and the NKJV fall all over themselves praising the accuracy and literary beauty of the King James Bible. If it so accurate and beautiful, why change it? The answer is MONEY! “For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some

coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows.” (1 Timothy 6:10 AV) The new bible versions are evil.

The texts of the new bible versions, such as the NIV, manifest the pagan antichrist agenda of its publishers. In Isaiah there is a passage about Lucifer that refers to him as “Lucifer, son of the morning.” In the NIV, the Isaiah passage is changed.

AV

How art thou fallen from heaven, O **Lucifer**, son of the morning! *how* art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit. (Isaiah 14:12-15 AV)

NIV

How you have fallen from heaven, O **morning star**, son of the dawn! You have been cast down to the earth, you who once laid low the nations! You said in your heart, “I will ascend to heaven, I will raise my throne above the stars of God: I will sit enthroned on the mount of assembly, in the utmost heights of the sacred mountain. I will ascend above the tops of the clouds; I will make myself like the most High.” But you are brought down to the grave, to the depths of the pit. (Isaiah 14:12-15 NIV)

Notice that the NIV has changed the subject of the passage from “Lucifer” to the “morning star.” What is the significance of that change? In Revelation 22:16, Jesus calls himself the “morning star.” Do you see what Satan has done? Jesus is the “morning star” in the NIV Isaiah passage. Satan has taken a passage that refers to Satan’s destruction and has twisted it in the NIV to describe the destruction of Jesus, who is Lord God Almighty.

The authors of the NIV, who are evil minions of the devil, have committed the unpardonable sin by changing Isaiah chapter 14 in the NIV to blasphemously attribute to God the evil characteristics of Lucifer. In their Satanic NIV, Isaiah chapter 14 has been changed to prophesy that it is not Lucifer who will in the end be cast into hell, but rather the “morning star,” who is the Lord God Jesus Christ.

But when the Pharisees heard it, they said, **This fellow doth not cast out devils, but by Beelzebub the prince of the devils.** And Jesus knew their thoughts, and said unto them, Every kingdom divided against itself is brought to desolation; and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand: And if Satan cast out Satan, he is divided against himself; how shall then his kingdom stand? And if I by Beelzebub cast out devils, by whom do your children cast them out? therefore they shall be your judges. But if I cast out devils by the Spirit of God, then the kingdom of God is come unto you. Or else how can one enter into a strong man’s house, and spoil his goods, except he first bind the strong man? and

then he will spoil his house. He that is not with me is against me; and he that gathereth not with me scattereth abroad. Wherefore I say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: **but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come.** (Matthew 12:24-32 AV)

In Revelation 20:12 the small and great stand before God, who is seated on a great white throne. However, in the NIV, NASB and other corrupted versions Satan accomplishes his ultimate goal of taking God from his throne; in those new versions all mention of God sitting on the throne is deleted. The small and great are simply standing before the throne.

Another example of Satan's twisting of God's word is found in Luke in the new versions of the bible. In the Authorized Version, Mary's and Joseph's relationship to Jesus is described as "Joseph and his mother." Whereas, in the NIV, and virtually every other new version of the bible, Mary's and Joseph's relationship to Jesus is described as "the child's father and mother." We know that Joseph was not Jesus' father, because Mary, when she was still a virgin, conceived Jesus by the Holy Spirit. **God is Jesus' Father. Jesus is the Son of God, not the son of Joseph.** ". . . [T]hat holy thing which shall be of thee shall be called the **Son of God.**" Luke 1:35.

AV

And **Joseph and his mother** marvelled at those things which were spoken of him. (Luke 2:33 AV)

NIV

The **child's father and mother** marvelled at what was said about him. (Luke 2:33 NIV)

Throughout the corrupted bible versions, passages that prove the deity of Jesus are removed or changed. For example, the translators of the NIV, NASB, RSV, and most of the new translations delete Jesus' assertion in *Revelation* 1:11 that: "I am Alpha and Omega, the first and the last." In addition, the NIV and the other new bible versions delete the word "God" from 1 Timothy 3:16, using the pronoun "He" in its place. 1 Timothy 3:16 clearly reveals that Jesus is God. The new bible versions, however, remove the revelation that Jesus is God from that passage.

AV

And without controversy great is the mystery of godliness: **God** was manifest in the flesh, justified in the Spirit, seen of angels, preached unto the Gentiles, believed on in the world, received up into glory. (1 Timothy 3:16 AV)

NIV

Beyond all question, the mystery of godliness is great: **He** appeared in a body, was vindicated by the Spirit, was seen by angels, was preached among the nations, was believed on in the world, was taken up in glory. (1 Timothy 3:16 NIV)

In Ephesians 3:9 the Holy Bible identifies Jesus as the Creator of the universe. However, the NIV removes the reference to Jesus.

AV

And to make all *men* see what *is* the fellowship of the mystery, which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God, who created all things **by Jesus Christ**. (Ephesians 3:9 AV)

NIV

[A]nd to make plain to everyone the administration of this mystery, which for ages past was kept hidden in God, who created all things. (Ephesians 3:9 NIV)

Even where the new versions do not delete words they change the word order so as to obscure the clear message. For example in the following passage from 2 Corinthians 5:19 the NIV obscures the message that “God was in Christ”

AV

God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto himself, not imputing their trespasses unto them; and hath committed unto us the word of reconciliation. (2 Corinthians 5:19 AV)

NIV

God was reconciling the world to himself in Christ, not counting men’s sins against them. And has committed to us the message of reconciliation. (2 Corinthians 5:19 NIV)

Another example of the new versions’ attacks on the deity of Jesus is found in the RSV passage in *Isaiah 7:14*. In the AV Holy Bible there is a prophecy that God would be miraculously born of a virgin and that he would be called Immanuel (which means God with us). *See Matthew 1:23*. On the translation committee for the RSV was a Jewish scholar (so called), H.M. Orlinsky of the Jewish Institute of New York, who did not believe in the deity of Jesus.⁵⁶ It is no wonder that in the RSV the Isaiah passage is changed to having Immanuel born not of a virgin but of a “young woman.” It is clear that the RSV has mistranslated that passage. The

passage explains that the Lord himself shall give us a “sign.” It is a sign from God if a “virgin” conceives and bears a son, but it is not a sign if a “young woman” conceives and bears a son. Young women give birth all the time. It is a common occurrence. Millions upon millions of young women have given birth over the thousands of years since that prophecy. The RSV mistranslation of Isaiah 7:14 is an attack upon the “sign” of the miraculous virgin birth of our Lord God Jesus Christ.

AV

Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign; Behold, a **virgin** shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel. (Isaiah 7:14 AV)

RSV

Therefore the Lord himself will give you a sign. Behold, a **young woman** shall conceive and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel. (Isaiah 7:14 RSV)

In 1996, Pope John Paul II announced that evolution is compatible with Christian beliefs. While evolution is compatible with Catholicism, evolution is not compatible with Christianity; evolution is irreconcilable with and antagonistic to Christianity. In 1998, the pope toned down his position, by announcing that evolution alone cannot account for human existence. He, however, did not repudiate his pro-evolutionary position. God’s word describes Adam as being “**made** a living soul.” The NIV, however, follows the evolutionary philosophy of the world and changes God’s word to say that Adam “**became** a living being.” In the NIV man was not created, but instead just “became.” This evolutionary slant fits in nicely with the Roman Catholic teachings.

AV

And so it is written, The first man Adam **was made** a living soul. (1 Corinthians 15:45 AV)

NIV

So it is written: “The first man Adam **became** a living being.” (1 Corinthians 15:45 NIV)

The theory of evolution is not only contrary to God’s word, but it is not based on true science; its origins are from pagan religious beliefs. According to the established laws of science, evolution is an impossibility. The second law of thermodynamics, also known as the law of entropy, is that all matter, living or inanimate, goes from a state of order to disorder. The theory of evolution reverses that sequence and states that over time organisms go from a state of disorder to order; from the simple to the complex. To illustrate the conflict between evolution and the laws of science, suppose one were to write each letter of one’s name on a separate card. If those cards were thrown out a second story they would scatter and fall to the ground in a chaotic display. The scattering of the cards over time as they fall to the ground illustrates the law of entropy. The evolutionist would say that the reason that the cards did not fall to the ground in order, spelling out the persons name, is that they were not given enough time to become orderly. The evolutionist would advise one to get into an airplane and throw the cards out of the plane

when it reached an altitude of 10,000 feet. By the theory of evolution the more time the cards are in the air falling, the more time they have to organize and spell out the persons name when they finely land on the ground. According to the law of entropy, and common sense, giving the cards more time to fall to the ground only increases the disorder. The evolutionist, however, contrary to the laws of science and common sense, would have you believe that the more time the cards have to fall to the ground, the more orderly they will become.

The theory of evolution is the seed that germinated into communism and socialism. Hitler, Lenin, Stalin, and Trotsky, were all converts to the theory of evolution. Evolution was the foundational philosophy for their political actions and their justification for their maniacal brutality. Once one becomes a believer in evolution, it is a small step beyond that to being a believer in a communist revolution. If there is no life giver, there is no law giver, no one made me, no one owns me, and, therefore, there is no right and wrong. Thus, there is nothing intrinsically wrong with stealing, assault, torture, murder, even murdering millions of people.

The theory of evolution is founded upon racism. In order to understand this evolutionary racism we must examine what is meant by the term race. Race is simply defined as a group of persons who have a common lineage.⁵⁷ Race is not a biblical concept. God in the bible does not once categorize different people according to race. He distinguishes different people by their tongues, families, nations, and countries. See Genesis 10:5, 20, 31; Revelation 10:11. Prior to the 1800's, races of people were generally categorized according to their nationality (the German race, the English race, etc.).⁵⁸ With the popularity of Charles Darwin's theory of evolution, which was first published in 1859, it eventually became the widespread practice to define race according to physical appearance. Darwin was a racist who believed that Blacks were closer to apes in the evolutionary process. In fact, the liberal humanists don't want the general public to know that the full title of Darwin's seminal 1859 book on evolution was: "THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION OR THE PRESERVATION OF FAVORED RACES IN THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE." Darwin elaborated on his racist views as follows: "At some future period, not very distant as measured by centuries, the civilized races of man will almost certainly exterminate and replace the savage races throughout the world. At the same time the anthromorphous apes will no doubt be exterminated. The break between man and his nearest allies will the be wider, for it will intervene between man in a more civilized state, as we may hope, even that the Caucasian and some ape as low as a baboon instead of as now between the Negro or Australian and the gorilla."⁵⁹

Darwin's racist theory of evolution is refuted by real science. Many scientists hold that because the physical variations that are used to categorize people into different races (skin color, eye shape, etc.) are trivial (only .012 percent of human biological variation) and that genetically all humans are fundamentally the same, racial distinctions based upon physical appearance are not founded on biological reality but are in fact a social construct.⁶⁰ Professor of Epidemiology Raj Bhopal, who is the head of the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health at the University of Newcastle, stated in the British Medical Journal: "Humans are one species: races are not biologically distinct, there's little variation in genetic composition between geographically

separate groups, and the physical characteristics distinguishing races result from a small number of genes that do not relate closely to either behaviors or disease.”⁶¹

In addition, a panel of “scientists, including geneticists and anthropologists meeting at the American Association for the Advancement of Science convention, said that the whole notion of race, based on skin color and hair type, is a social construction that has nothing to do with the genetic makeup of humans. . . . So while society busily tries to classify and reclassify races, the researchers say, it should remember that race is an artificial way to organize and categorize and has nothing to do with humans’ fundamental makeup.”⁶²

Those scientists maintain that it is a misnomer, therefore, to label people with different physical characteristics as being of different races. Because racial distinctions are somewhat arbitrary, there is no standardization of racial categories; in fact, the labels for the various races have changed with some frequency. There has been a recent trend in the United States to categorize races of people according to their perceived national or regional origin, such as African-American, Mexican-American, etc.

In *Saint Francis College et al. v. Al-Khazraji, Aka Allan*,⁶³ a United States citizen born in Iraq was denied tenure at a private college in Pennsylvania. The professor made a claim under a federal statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1981, alleging that he was discriminated against because of his ancestry. The college argued that § 1981 only prohibits racial prejudice and because the professor was considered a Caucasian under modern "scientific" theory that he could not be subjected to racial discrimination from another Caucasian. The U.S. Supreme Court examined dictionaries and encyclopedias from the 1800's and discovered that the theory of racial classifications has undergone a significant change since then. It was not until the early 20th Century that dictionaries started defining race according to physical appearance and listing the racial categories: Mongoloid, Caucasoid, and Negroid. The Court recognized the lack of scientific authority for the modern racial classifications and found those classifications to be inadequate to address the issue of racial prejudice that 42 U.S.C. § 1981 was drafted to prohibit. The Court ruled that § 1981 prohibited discrimination based on ancestry or ethnic characteristics, regardless of whether the person has the physical appearance that places him into one of the modern racial categories.

The U.S. Supreme Court in the *Saint Francis College* case stated:

There is a common understanding that there are three major human races - Caucasoid, Mongoloid, and Negroid. Many modern biologists and anthropologists, however, criticize racial classifications as arbitrary and of little use in understanding the variability of human beings. It is said that genetically homogeneous populations do not exist and traits are not discontinuous between populations; therefore, a population can only be described in terms of relative frequencies of various traits. Clear-cut categories do not exist. The particular traits which have generally been chosen to characterize races have been criticized

as having little biological significance. It has been found that differences between individuals of the same race are often greater than the differences between the "average" individuals of different races. These observations and others have led some, but not all, scientist to conclude that racial classifications are for the most part sociopolitical, rather than biological, in nature. S. Molnar, *Human Variation*, (2d ed. 1983); S. Gould, *The Mismeasure of Man* (1981); M Banton & J. Harwood, *The Race Concept* (1975); A. Montagu, *Man's Most Dangerous Myth* (1974); A. Montagu, *Statement on Race* (3d ed. 1972); *Science and the Concept of Race* (M. Mead, T. Dobzhansky, E. Tobach, & R. Light eds. 1968; A. Montagu, *The Concept of Race* (1964); R. Benedict, *Race and Racism* (1942); Littlefield, Lieberman, & Reynolds, *Redefining Race: The Potential Demise of a Concept in Physical Anthropology*, 23 *Current Anthropology* 641 (1982); *Biological Aspects of Race*, 17 *Int'l Soc. Sci. J.* 71 (1965); Washburn, *The Study of Race*, 65 *American Anthropologist* 521 (1963).⁶⁴

God "hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth." Acts 17:26. Racial distinctions are contrary to the commands of God: "**Judge not according to the appearance**, but judge righteous judgement." John 7:24. *See also* 1 Samuel 16:7 "But the LORD said unto Samuel, Look not on his countenance, or on the height of his stature; because I have refused him: for *the LORD seeth* not as man seeth; for **man looketh on the outward appearance, but the LORD looketh on the heart.**"

Christians should understand that our war is not a carnal war where distinctions are made between races of people as defined by the pagan world system. Christians are in a spiritual war against unseen "spiritual wickedness in high places." Ephesians 6:12. "**For though we walk in the flesh, we do not war after the flesh: (For the weapons of our warfare are not carnal**, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong holds;) Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ; And having in a readiness to revenge all disobedience, when your obedience is fulfilled. **Do ye look on things after the outward appearance?** If any man trust to himself that he is Christ's, let him of himself think this again, that, as he *is* Christ's, even so *are* we Christ's." (2 Corinthians 10:3-7 AV)

It is a natural pagan view of the world that judges men after their outward appearance. A Christian, on the other hand, is imbued with the Holy Spirit and does not judge a person based upon his skin color or outward physical appearance. A Christian instead has "the mind of Christ."

But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned. But he that is spiritual judgeth all things, yet he himself is judged of no man. For who hath known the mind of the Lord, that he may instruct him? **But we have the mind of Christ.** (1 Corinthians 2:14-16 AV)

The racist carnal mind is enmity against God.

“For they that are after the flesh do mind the things of the flesh; but they that are after the Spirit the things of the Spirit. For to be carnally minded *is* death; but to be spiritually minded *is* life and peace. Because **the carnal mind is enmity against God**: for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be. **So then they that are in the flesh cannot please God.** But ye are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his.” (Romans 8:5-9 AV)

Who do we find in the middle of this evolutionary attack upon man and God? None other than the Militia of the Pope, the Jesuits. It was the Piltdown Man fraud that did the most to embed the evolutionary religion in the minds of scientists and the curricula of schools. In 1913 Piltdown Man was announced to the world as clear evidence of a transition between man and ape. For 40 years it was touted as evidence in support of evolution, until in 1953 it was exposed as a forgery. It was determined that the skull of Piltdown Man was from a modern man and that the jawbone and teeth were from an orangutan. The teeth in the jaw had been filed down to make them look human. The bones and teeth had been chemically treated to give them the appearance of being prehistoric. The bones were then planted at the burial site in which they were found. There is a strong belief among those who have investigated the matter that the noted Jesuit Priest Pierre Teilhard de Chardin, was instrumental in perpetrating that hoax. The scientist who helped unmask the forgery, Dr. Kenneth Oakley, formerly of the British Museum, said that a letter written to him by Teilhard in 1954 had given him "strong indications that Teilhard was in collusion with Charles Dawson," in committing the Piltdown Man hoax.⁶⁵

God condemns idolatry. When Paul tells the people gathered at Mars' Hill that their graven images prove that they are “too superstitious,” the NIV, NASB, and NKJV scribes change the rebuke to a compliment; the same passage in the “new improved” versions reads that the people are “very religious.” The new maltranslations support the idol worship practiced in the Roman Catholic Church.

AV

Then Paul stood in the midst of Mars' hill, and said, *Ye* men of Athens, I perceive that in all things ye are **too superstitious**. (Acts 17:22 AV)

NKJV

Then Paul stood in the midst of the Areopagus and said, "Men of Athens, I perceive that in all things you are **very religious**. (Acts 17:22 NKJV)

In the KJV (AV) the ways of the wicked are always “grievous.” Psalms 10:4-5. The devil cannot have that, so Psalms 10:4-5 in his NKJV states the ways of the wicked are always “prospering.” The wicked “were forgotten” in Ecclesiastes 8:10 of God’s word in the KJV, but in the NIV Ecclesiastes 8:10 passage the wicked “receive praise.” The Zionists disciples of Satan

were able to change their bibles to make Israel a “spreading vine” in the NIV and even a “luxuriant vine” in the NASB in Hosea 10:1. God, however, states that “Israel is an empty vine” in his KJV Holy Bible at Hosea 10:1. God states that “the words of a talebearer are as wounds.” Proverbs 26:22. However, the NIV change agents contradict God by saying in Proverbs 26:22 of their NIV that “the words of a gossip are like choice morsels.” In Proverbs 25:23 God states that “the north wind driveth away rain.” The NASB, however, states in their Proverbs 25:23 that “the north wind brings forth rain.” The NIV takes away the witness of God to the faith of Sara in Hebrews 11:11 and substitutes Abraham in her place.

AV

Through faith also Sara herself received strength to conceive seed, and was delivered of a child when she was past age, because **she judged him faithful who had promised.** (Hebrews 11:11 AV)

NIV

By faith Abraham, even though he was past age--and Sarah herself was barren--was enabled to become a father because **he considered him faithful who had made the promise.** (Hebrews 11:11 NIV)

That diabolical devil has left his unmistakable fingerprint on the new Bible versions. The devil used the “Holy One of God” to describe Jesus in Mark 1:24 and Luke 4:34. In those verses, a devil, who has possessed a man, cries out to Jesus to leave him alone and states: “I know thee who thou art, the **Holy One of God.**” (Mark 1:24; Luke 4:34 AV) Jesus immediately rebuked the devil, telling him to “Hold thy peace, and come out of him.” Mark 1:25; Luke 4:35. The devil then came out of the man. Notice that many other times Jesus drove devils from people, in every other instant the devils identified Jesus as the Son of God. *See e.g.*, Matthew 8:29 (“Jesus, thou son of God”); Mark 5:7 (“Jesus, thou son of the most high God”); Luke 8:28 (“Jesus, thou son of God most high”); *See* Luke 4:41 (“Christ the Son of God.”). It is only in Mark 1:24 and Luke 4:34 that Jesus is identified by the devils as the “Holy One of God.”

There are two definitive verses in the Holy Bible where the apostle Peter expressly identifies Jesus as the “**Christ, the Son of the living God.**” One verse is found in Matthew 16:16, the other verse is found in John 6:69. The new bible versions change the language in John 6:69 from “Christ, the Son of the living God.” to “Holy One of God.” Not only does the change in that verse obscure the fact that Jesus is the Christ, the son of the living God, but it also unmistakable evidence that the new bible versions are the work of the devil. The true authorship of the new Bible versions is exposed when we read the very words used by a devil to describe Jesus (Holy One of God) substituted in place of the revelation of who Jesus is (Christ, the Son of the Living God), which was given by his “Father which is in heaven.” *See* Matthew 16:16. Almighty God told the devil to hold his peace when he first described Jesus as the “Holy One of God,” yet the devil thinks nothing of disobeying God by having Peter in his new bible versions say the very words that God ordered him not to repeat.

AV

And we believe and are sure that thou art
that Christ, the Son of the living God.
(John 6:69 AV)

NASB

And we believe and have come to know that
You are **the Holy one of God.** (John 6:69
NASB)

Jesus is described elsewhere in the Holy Bible as the “Holy One” (*See, e.g.*, Psalms 16:10; Acts 3:14), and the “Holy One of Israel” (*See, e.g.*, Isaiah 30:12; Jeremiah 50:29), but he is called “the Holy One of God” in only two Bible passages (Mark 1:24 and Luke 4:34) and both passages recount the words spoken by the devil. The devil certainly knows that Jesus is “Christ the Son of God.” *See* Luke 4:41. Why then would the devil use the title “Holy one of God” to describe Jesus as recounted in Mark 1:24 and Luke 4:34 and then put that title in place of the title “Christ the Son of the living God” in John 6:69 in his new bibles? Because the devil is the unclean spirit of antichrist, and his change of those passages in his new bibles is an implicit denial that Jesus is the Christ. *See* 1 John 4:3. “Who is a liar but he that **denieth that Jesus is the Christ?** He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son. Whosoever denieth the Son, the same hath not the Father: (but) he that acknowledgeth the Son hath the Father also.” (1 John 2:22-23 AV) Just as the Catholic church has a different Jesus, they also have a different Peter than the Peter of the Holy Bible. By removing the revelation that Jesus is the Christ, the Catholic Peter in John 6:69 of the Catholic bible versions is implicitly denying that Jesus is the Christ.

According to Catholic folklore, the Catholic Peter is purported to be the first pope of the Catholic church. The Catholic church claims that their Peter is the rock upon which the church is built and not Jesus. In another verse, Matthew 16:13-18, even in the new bible versions, Peter states that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of the living God. Jesus explains that upon this rock (“the Christ, the Son of the living God”) I will build my church. However, the Catholic authorities claim that the rock is their Peter not Jesus. Again, denying that Jesus is the rock is an implicit denial that Jesus is the Christ, hence signifying that the pope is the antichrist in fulfillment of the prophecy in 1 John 2:22-23.

The rock is God Almighty. By claiming that Peter is the rock and that they are the successors of Peter, they are claiming to be God Almighty. It sounds incredible, but read the official pronouncement from the pope: “**I have the authority of the King of kings. I am all in all and above all, so that God, Himself and I, The Vicar of God, have but one consistory, and I am able to do almost all that God can do. What therefore, can you make of me but God.**” *The Bull Sanctum*, November 18, 1302 (emphasis added).⁶⁶

Pope John Paul II calls Jesus the Holy One of God in his letter *Dominicae Cenae*: “There is a close link between this element of the Eucharist and its sacredness, that is to say, its being a holy and sacred action. Holy and sacred, because in it are the continual presence and action of Christ, **‘the Holy One’ of God.**” LETTER *DOMINICAE CENAE* OF THE SUPREME PONTIFF JOHN PAUL II TO ALL THE BISHOPS OF THE CHURCH ON THE MYSTERY AND WORSHIP OF THE EUCHARIST. One of the passages that is footnoted for the term

“holy one of God” in the letter *Dominicae Cena*e is John 6:69. The very passage that in God’s word describes Jesus as the “Christ, the Son of the living God,” the antichrist uses as authority for calling him “the Holy One of God.” The Pope used the very words of the devil, which the devil is trying to insert in John 6:69 in his counterfeit bibles. See Mark 1:24, Luke 4:35.

There are numerous other examples of Satan tampering with God’s word and trying to pass it off as more accurate than the original. Satan’s strategy from the beginning is to “taketh away the word that was sown in their hearts.” Mark 4:15. In the NIV, the verse at Matthew 23:14 is missing; it is the verse that criticizes the scribes for making pretentious, long prayers as are made by the Catholic priests of today. “Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows’ houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation.” Matthew 23:14 AV. The scribes who removed that verse had an interest in removing a verse that promises that they would receive the greater damnation. Mathew 18:11 is deleted from the NIV, that verse states: “For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost.” Acts 8:37 is also deleted from the NIV. “And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God.” Acts 8:37 AV. In the NIV verse 1 John 5:7 Satan completely removes the reference to the three persons of the Godhead. He tries to cover his tracks by taking part of verse 8 and labeling it verse 7, hoping nobody would notice the missing verse. Verse 7 should read as follows: “For there are three that bear record in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost: and these three are one.” (1 John 5:7 AV) The following verses have been completely removed from the NIV: Matthew 17:21; Mark 7:16; 9:44; 9:46; 11:26; Luke 17:37; 23:17; and Acts 28:29.

The new bible versions even hide the object of the faith that gains eternal salvation. In John 6:47 the AV passage reads: “Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that **believeth on me** hath everlasting life.” The NASB version of John 6:47, however, says: “Truly, truly I say to you, he who believes has eternal life.” Notice that the NASB simply requires belief. Belief in what? Belief in whom? The NASB passage gives room for the Catholic Church to say that belief in the Catholic Church (plus works) gains salvation. Jesus, however, says that only believing on him gains eternal salvation.

The NIV and the other new age bible versions change the word “faults” to “sins” in James 5:16. This is in accordance with the Roman Catholic doctrine of confessing sins to the priest in order to be forgiven.

AV

Confess *your faults* one to another, and pray one for another, that ye may be healed. The effectual fervent prayer of a righteous man availeth much. (James 5:16 AV)

NIV

Therefore confess your **sins** to each other and pray for each other so that you may be healed. The prayer of a righteous man is powerful and effective. (James 5:16 NIV)

God has called us to be servants to one another as Jesus has set the example by giving his

life. In the new versions, however, the word “servant” is changed to “slave” in Matthew 20:26 and Romans 6:22. God did not call us to slavery but to liberty, but that liberty is not to be used as an occasion for sin but to serve one another. “For, brethren, ye have been called unto liberty; only *use* not liberty for an occasion to the flesh, but by love serve one another.” (Galatians 5:13 AV) The theme of the New Testament of Jesus Christ is that those who believe in Jesus are set free from bondage to sin; we are free indeed! He does not want us to go back to the heavy yoke of the regulations of the law and be slaves out of fear, he wants us to serve him out of love. The Catholic position is that the Pope is supreme and submission to him is necessary for salvation. God warned about such men: “While they promise them liberty, they themselves are the servants of corruption: for of whom a man is overcome, of the same is he brought in bondage.” (2 Peter 2:19 AV) We are not God’s slaves, for he calls us his “friends.” (John 15:15 AV) As the following Bible passages attest, we are adopted not with the spirit of bondage but with the Holy Spirit whereby we cry “Abba, Father.” (Romans 8:15-17 AV)

Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. For **my yoke is easy, and my burden is light.** (Matthew 11:28-30 AV)

Then said Jesus to those Jews which believed on him, If ye continue in my word, *then* are ye my disciples indeed; And ye shall know the truth, and **the truth shall make you free.** (John 8:31-32 AV)

If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed. (John 8:36 AV)

But now being made **free from sin, and become servants to God**, ye have your fruit unto holiness, and the end everlasting life. (Romans 6:22 AV)

For the law of the Spirit of life in Christ Jesus hath made me **free from the law of sin and death.** (Romans 8:2 AV)

Stand fast therefore in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not entangled again with the yoke of bondage. (Galatians 5:1 AV)

And that because of false brethren unawares brought in, who came in privily to spy out **our liberty which we have in Christ Jesus**, that they might bring us into bondage: (Galatians 2:4 AV)

For, brethren, **ye have been called unto liberty**; only *use* not liberty for an occasion to the flesh, but by love serve one another. (Galatians 5:13 AV)

Because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption

into the **glorious liberty of the children of God**. (Romans 8:21 AV)

As free, and not using *your* liberty for a cloke of maliciousness, but as the servants of God. (1 Peter 2:16 AV)

Not only do the new bibles mislead the readers as to their position with God, they also mislead the readers as to how they should act. For example, the Bible clearly admonishes against being prideful. Read the following passages and you will learn that God hates pride!

The fear of the LORD *is* to hate evil: **pride, and arrogancy, and the evil way, and the froward mouth, do I hate**. (Proverbs 8:13 AV)

When **pride** cometh, then cometh **shame**: but with the lowly *is* wisdom. (Proverbs 11:2 AV)

In the mouth of the **foolish** *is* a rod of **pride**: but the lips of the wise shall preserve them. (Proverbs 14:3 AV)

Pride *goeth* before **destruction**, and an haughty spirit before a fall. (Proverbs 16:18 AV)

The NIV, however, advises one to be proud by changing the word “rejoice” to “take pride.” God has made clear, in the above passages, that pride is a sin which God has admonished against in the strongest terms.

AV

Let the brother of low degree **rejoice** in that he is exalted: (James 1:9 AV)

NIV

The brother in humble circumstances ought to **take pride** in his high position. (James 1:9 NIV)

AV

But let every man prove his own work, and then shall he have **rejoicing** in himself alone, and not in another. (Galatians 6:4 AV)

NIV

Each one should test his own actions. Then he can **take pride** in himself, without comparing himself to somebody else. (Galatians 6:4 NIV)

The corrupters of God’s word even change the passage that refers to them. In the NIV they changed the word “corrupt” to “peddle for profit” in 2 Corinthians 2:17. The passage “we are not as many, which **corrupt** the word of God” was changed in the NIV to say “[u]nlike so many, we do not **peddle the word of God for profit**.” The passage in the Authorized Version establishes that from the beginning of the church there were those that were corrupting the word of God. Satan simply cannot permit that fact to be established in the scriptures, so he changed

the passage for his counterfeit bibles.

Because the new bible publishers do not believe that the Bible is God's word, but merely a book that has a good message, some have replaced the word "gospel" with the words "good news." The word "gospel" literally means "God's word."⁶⁷ Yet in passage after passage some new bible versions change "gospel" to "good news."

AV

But the word of the Lord endureth for ever.
And this is the word which by the **gospel** is
preached unto you. (1 Peter 1:25 AV)

RSV

but the word of the Lord abides for ever.
That word is the **good news** which was
preached to you.(1 Peter 1:25 RSV)

The Bible itself has a built in dictionary, defining terms as they appear. In fact, in Romans 10:14-17 it defines the word "gospel" as the "word of God." This meaning is obscured in the new bible versions.

AV

How then shall they call on him in whom they have not believed? and how shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher? And how shall they preach, except they be sent? as it is written, How beautiful are the feet of them that preach the **gospel** of peace, and bring glad tidings of good things! But they have not all obeyed the **gospel**. For Esaias saith, Lord, who hath believed our report? So then faith *cometh* by hearing, and hearing by the **word of God**. (Romans 10:14-17 AV)

NIV

How, then, can they call on the one they have not believed in? And how can they believe in the one of whom they have not heard? And how can they hear without someone preaching to them? And how can they preach unless they are sent? As it is written, "How beautiful are the feet of those who bring **good news!**" But not all the Israelites accepted the **good news**. For Isaiah says, "Lord who has believed our message?" Consequently, faith comes from hearing the message, and the message is heard through the **word of Christ**.(Romans 10:14-17 NIV)

While the Holy Bible is inerrant, the new bibles are chock full of errors. Those errors prove that they could not be God's word. For example, the NIV, NASB and virtually every new bible version identifies the prophecy in Mark 1:2 as being from Isaiah, when in fact the quote is not from Isaiah but is from Malachi 3:1. The Isaiah prophecy is not quoted until Mark 1:3. The Authorized Version does not make that mistake; in the AV the two prophecies are correctly introduced in Mark 1:2: "As is written in the prophets." Incidentally, there is a footnote to Mark 1:1 in the NASB that states that "many" manuscripts do not contain the language "the Son of God." The NASB footnote is misleading, because approximately 99% of the manuscripts have that clause in them. The 1% that do not have that clause are the corrupt Alexandrian manuscripts. That footnote is just another example of Satan attacking the deity of Jesus Christ.

The NASB, the NIV and the other new bibles have footnotes like that throughout their corrupt texts attacking the authenticity of scores of bible passages.

AV

The beginning of the gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God; As it is written in the **prophets**, Behold, I send my messenger before thy face, which shall prepare thy way before thee. [*Malachi 3:1*] The voice of one crying in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of the Lord, make his paths straight. [*Isaiah 40:3*] (Mark 1:1-3 AV)

NASB

The beginning of the gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God. As it is written in **Isaiah** the prophet, Behold, I send my messenger before your face, who will prepare your way; [*Malachi 3:1*] The voice of one crying in the wilderness, make ready the way of the Lord, make his paths straight. [*Isaiah 40:3*] (Mark 1:1-3 NASB)

One of the favorite attacks by the new version advocates is to claim that the word “Easter” in Acts 12:4 is an example of a mistranslation by the King James translators. They assert that the word *pascha* should be translated “Passover” not “Easter.”

AV

Now about that time Herod the king stretched forth *his* hands to vex certain of the church. And he killed James the brother of John with the sword. And because he saw it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to take Peter also. (Then were the days of unleavened bread.) And when he had apprehended him, he put *him* in prison, and delivered *him* to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after **Easter** to bring him forth to the people. (Acts 12:1-4 AV)

NKJV

Now about that time Herod the king stretched out his hand to harass some from the church. Then he killed James the brother of John with the sword. And because he saw that it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to seize Peter also. Now it was during the Days of Unleavened Bread. So when he had arrested him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four squads of soldiers to keep him, intending to bring him before the people after **Passover**. (Acts 12:1-4 NKJV)

The so called biblical scholars begin their argument on the right foot but then stumble on man’s wisdom. They correctly note that Easter is a word derived from the adoration and worship of the pagan queen of heaven “Astarte” or “Ishtar.”⁶⁸ Easter was and is a pagan spring festival which involved fertility symbols such as eggs and rabbits.⁶⁹ Easter has nothing at all to do with Passover or with the resurrection of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ. It is the Roman Catholic Church in mixing the pagan festivals with the Christian history that has seduced people into believing that Christ rose from the dead on Easter Sunday. Because Easter is in fact a pagan holiday, the new versions translate the Greek word *pascha* in Acts 12:4 as “Passover,” thinking

that God could not possibly mean to refer to a pagan holiday in his Holy Scriptures. In Acts 12:4, however, God is not using the word *pascha* to describe a Christian or Jewish holiday, he is describing the intentions of Herod. Herod was a pagan and it would not be unusual that he would desire to wait until his cherished Easter pagan holiday was over before he brought Peter out before the people.

While Passover is one of the possible English translations for *pascha*, that translation in the context of Acts 12:4 is simply wrong. The more accurate translation is “Easter,” which is the translation found in the King James Holy Bible. *Pascha* is a word of Chaldean origin and means either Passover or the pagan festival of Easter. The pedantic and rather sophomoric translation by the modern so called scholars is demonstrably erroneous. They assume that *pascha* must be translated “Passover” in Acts 12:4, based solely on the fact that *pascha* means Passover in all other biblical passages where it appears. They completely disregard the alternative English translation for *pascha* of Easter.

Pascha, however, cannot possibly mean Passover in Acts 12:4, because Herod intended to keep custody of Peter until after *pascha*. *Pascha* in that passage must mean Easter, because Passover had already taken place when Peter was arrested during the days of unleavened bread. The fourteenth day of the first month of the Jewish calendar is the Passover (Leviticus 23:4-5, Genesis 12:17-18). Passover is immediately followed by the seven days of unleavened bread (Leviticus 23:6-7, Genesis 12:15-16). Because Passover is memorialized with unleavened bread (Genesis 12:17-18), it and the seven day feast of unleavened bread are both referred to as the feast of unleavened bread (Matthew 26:17, Mark 14:1, 14:12, Luke 22:1-7, Leviticus 23:6, Exodus 12:17-20). Combining the Passover with the feast of unleavened bread we get eight (8) days of unleavened bread that span from the Fourteenth day (Passover) until the 21st day of the first month in the Jewish calendar (Genesis 12:18).

These *are* the feasts of the LORD, *even* holy convocations, which ye shall proclaim in their seasons. In the fourteenth *day* of the first month at even *is* the LORD'S passover. **And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread unto the LORD: seven days ye must eat unleavened bread.** In the first day ye shall have an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work therein. But ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto the LORD seven days: in the seventh day *is* an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work *therein*.
(Leviticus 23:4-8 AV)

In Acts 12:1-4 we see that Peter was taken into custody during the days of unleavened bread that follow Passover, Passover had already taken place. Because Passover had already taken place by that time, it makes no sense for the passage to say that Herod intended to hold Peter until after Passover. The pagan holiday Easter, on the other hand, always follows Passover and had not yet occurred. Herod intended to hold Peter until after the pagan holiday of Easter. Therefore, the King James translators were correct when they translated *pascha* as “Easter,” and the modern translators are wrong in translating *pascha* as “Passover.”

The translators of the new bible versions are more concerned with changing and twisting God's words to comport with popular opinion than using God's words to change the world. For example, God's word makes clear that the earth is God's creation and it is fixed and cannot be moved. "Fear before him, all the earth: the world also shall be stable, that it be not moved." (1 Chronicles 16:30 AV) "The LORD reigneth, he is clothed with majesty; the LORD is clothed with strength, *wherewith* he hath girded himself: the world also is stablished, that it cannot be moved." (Psalms 93:1 AV)

So called scientists, however, have concluded that the earth orbits the sun. Nicolaus Copernicus died in 1543 on the day his book, *On the Revolutions of the Celestial Spheres*, was published. Most people do not know that Copernicus did not originate the theory that the earth revolves around the sun. Aristarchus of Samos (310 – 230 B. C.) is the first person known to have postulated that the earth rotates on an axis daily and orbits the sun annually. Aristarchus' model had been rejected until Copernicus' book was published. There was initially strong resistance to Copernicus' heliocentric system. However, over time the heliocentric view, with the earth and the other planets rotating around sun, has won popular acceptance. The heliocentric theory removed the earth as the center of creation and challenged the entire ancient authority of the Bible regarding the universe and its origins. Under the heliocentric model the earth is supposed to be rotating on an axis at approximately 1,000 mph at the equator while at the same time it supposed to be traveling approximately 66,000 mph (which would be 30 times the speed of a rifle bullet) as it revolves around the sun once each year. Heliocentricity is the progenitor of the theory of evolution.

Tycho Brahe (1546 – 1601), who was born three years after Copernicus died, was the most brilliant astronomer in all of history. His observations and models established that the earth is stationary and the sun revolves around the earth, with the other planets revolving around the sun. Scientists have through objective experiments confirmed Brahe's findings. Today many of the astrophysical equations used to launch and navigate satellites assume a stationary earth. Satan has been successful in suppressing the fact that in 1898, physicists A.A. Michelson (1852 – 1931) and chemist E. W. Morley (1838 – 1923) proved that the earth does not move. The series of Michelson/Morley experiments, using an interferometer, which measured light rays, established that the earth was stationary.⁷⁰ Throughout history scientists have conducted experiments that each time gave results that were not only consistent with a stationary earth but indicative of a stationary earth, from the light polarization experiments of E. Muscart in 1872 to the mutual inductance experiments of Theodore de Coudres in 1889 to the 1903 *Touton-Noble* experiments.⁷¹ Evidence that the earth is stationary is all around us. For example, assuming the heliocentric model with the earth traveling at over 1000 mph at the equator, if one were to take a plane flight from Buffalo, New York to Miami, Florida, by the time the airplane arrived in Miami over two hours after taking off from New York, due to the Coriolis effect Miami would have rotated over 2000 miles to the East. That would put the plane over the Pacific Ocean, just off the west coast of Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula. Yet, in reality, the flight arrives in Miami on time and without the pilot having to adjust for the rotation of the earth. The reason that the pilot does not have to adjust for the rotation of the earth is that the earth is not rotating, it is stationary just as

God has said in his Holy Bible. Some who accept that the earth rotates have argued that the atmosphere moves with the earth and therefore it keeps the plane synchronized with the earth. The problem with that argument is that nobody has ever identified or measured this mysterious force that keeps the plane synchronized with the rotation of the earth. The reason that the force has never been discovered is that it does not exist. This mystical (or rather fictional) lateral force does not exist because there is no need for it; the earth is not moving.

Not only is the earth stationary, it is at the center of God's creation. In 1976 Y.P. Varshi did an extensive study of the distribution of Quasars and published his conclusion in the *Astrophysics and Space Science Journal*. Varshi was forced by the evidence to conclude that "the cosmological interpretation for the red shift in the spectra of quasars leads to yet another paradoxical result: namely, that the earth is the center of the Universe."⁷² Varshi calculated the odds for the distribution of Quasars around the earth happening by chance at 3×10^{86} to one.

Despite the fact that God's word states clearly the earth is immovable, the new bible versions wish to change God's word to comport with what they believe is the "scientific fact" that the earth is rotating on its axis and at the same time orbiting around the sun. In 2 Kings God reveals a miracle he performed by making the shadow cast by the sun on Ahaz's sundial to reverse and go back ten degrees.

And Isaiah said, This sign shalt thou have of the LORD, that the LORD will do the thing that he hath spoken: shall the shadow go forward ten degrees, or go back ten degrees? And Hezekiah answered, It is a light thing for the shadow to go down ten degrees: nay, but let the shadow return backward ten degrees. And Isaiah the prophet cried unto the LORD: and he brought the shadow ten degrees backward, by which it had gone down in the dial of Ahaz. (2 Kings 20:9-11 AV)

Now, if you accept what God says in his word as true that the earth cannot be moved, it must have been the sun that went back ten degrees. In fact, in Isaiah 38:8, God reveals that is exactly what happened, the sun moved back ten degrees by which degrees it had already gone down. In order for the sun to return the ten degrees that it had already gone down, the sun must have been moving across the sky in its ordinary path before its reversal. Hence, the earth is stationary and the sun revolves around the earth.

AV
Behold, I will bring again the shadow of the degrees, which is gone down in the sun dial of Ahaz, ten degrees backward. **So the sun returned ten degrees, by which degrees it was gone down.** (Isaiah 38:8 AV)

NIV
I will make **the shadow cast by the sun go back ten the ten steps** it has gone down on the stairway of Ahaz. So the **sunlight went back the ten steps** it had gone down. (Isaiah 38:8 NIV)

Because the NIV bible translators do not believe God's word, they have changed the

passage in Isaiah 38:8 to state that “sunlight” went back ten steps on the “stairway,” rather than what actually happened, that the sun itself returning ten degrees. Simply stated, they have changed the verse to comport with a heliocentric view of the universe. By changing the passage to say that the sunlight went back instead of the sun, the NIV translators have removed the fact that the sun is moving and have allowed for an explanation that the earth reversed its rotation, thus causing the sunlight to move back. Furthermore, they have removed the miracle of the event entirely by stating that the shadow went back ten steps on a stairway, rather than ten degrees on a sundial. A shadow cast by a pillar can go up and then back down steps due the ordinary travel of the sun across the sky, however, the shadow cast upon a sundial cannot move backwards unless the sun moves backwards.

The devil has changed passages in his new bible versions to obscure the truth that man’s will is enslaved to sin. Before examining those bible changes it is important to understand the gospel doctrine that man is spiritually dead and enslaved by sin. God must quicken you, that is, make you spiritually alive. You then become a new spiritual creation through God’s Holy Spirit. Man will not serve God nor seek God, because man is spiritually dead. “As it is written, **There is none righteous, no, not one: There is none that understandeth, there is none that seeketh after God.**” (Romans 3:10-11 AV)

Jesus came to set us free. “If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed.” (John 8:36 AV) He gives his elect a new spiritual birth and they are set free from sin and death to serve the Lord. By his grace we are spiritually born again. Once born again, our old flesh driven existence comes to an end, and we are led by the spirit, which up to that time was dead, but now is alive. A Christian becomes a new creation, set free from sin to serve the living God.

In order to enter the kingdom of God, a man must be born again. John 3:3. It is not possible to born oneself, God must do it. “**Of his own will begat he us with the word of truth,** that we should be a kind of firstfruits of his creatures.” (James 1:18 AV) Those who are born again, have been chosen by God before the world was even created. “According as he hath **chosen us in him before the foundation of the world,** that we should be holy and without blame before him in love: Having **predestinated** us unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to himself, according to the good pleasure of his will.” Ephesians 1:4-5.

Those chosen by God for salvation have done nothing to merit that salvation. We were not good, we were simply chosen, because God decided according to his own purpose to choose us. “Who hath saved us, and called us with an holy calling, **not according to our works, but according to his own purpose and grace,** which was given us in Christ Jesus before the world began.” 2 Timothy 1:9. “In whom also we have obtained an inheritance, **being predestinated according to the purpose of him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will.**” (Ephesians 1:11 AV) Jesus made clear to his disciples that they did not choose him, he chose them. “Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and that your fruit should remain: that whatsoever ye shall ask of the Father in

my name, he may give it you.” John 15:16.

The true gospel holds that our faith is a gift from God, it does not come from our free will. “For by grace are ye saved through faith; **and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God.**” (Ephesians 2:8 AV) Notice that Ephesians 2:8 says: “and **that not** of yourselves.” To what is God referring when he says “that?” It is obvious by the context that he means faith. Clearly grace is from God; that point needs no clarification. The only point that needs clarification is the source of faith; “that” faith is **not** of yourselves, “it [faith] is the gift of God.”

The new devil inspired corrupt bible versions subtly water down the sovereignty of God, thus leaving the reader with the mythical free will of man to either choose or reject salvation. In order to accomplish this spiritual *coup de tat*, Satan only had to change the word “of” to “in.” Let’s look at some of the devil’s handiwork in the NIV:

KJV

Even the righteousness of God which is **by faith of Jesus Christ** unto all and upon all them that believe: for there is no difference: (Romans 3:22 KJV)

NIV

This righteousness from God comes **through faith in Jesus Christ** to all who believe. There is no difference, (Romans 3:22 NIV)

Notice that the righteousness of God is “by the faith **of** Jesus Christ.” The passage explains the source of the faith; faith comes from Jesus Christ, hence it is the “faith **of** Jesus Christ.” The NIV conceals the source of the faith and simply states the result of the working of Christ, that the righteousness of God “comes through faith **in** Jesus Christ.” The passage is supposed to reveal the source of our faith, instead it is changed to reveal the object of our faith. The reader of the NIV can quite comfortably fit the Arminian free will gospel into the watered down passage. The innocent Christian sheep using an NIV bible will not have any notice that an Arminian “minister” is preaching a false gospel, because the NIV has concealed the word of God from him. If the Christian uses a KJV Bible, it would be impossible to believe the false Arminian gospel, unless the reader ignores the plain language of Romans 3:22. That passage indicates that our faith comes from Jesus. One cannot have faith **in** Jesus without being given the faith **of** Jesus. The Arminian gospel, that states that man is the source of his own faith, is exposed as a lie in Romans 3:22. Satan had to do something, so he decided to alter God’s word to hide that truth in the NIV bible.

We see the same thing in the NIV corruption of Galatians 2:20:

KJV

I am crucified with Christ: nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ liveth in me: and the life which I now live in the flesh I live **by the faith of the Son of God**, who loved me, and gave himself for me. (Galatians 2:20 KJV)

NIV

I have been crucified with Christ and I no longer live, but Christ lives in me. The life I live in the body, I live **by faith in the Son of God**, who loved me and gave himself for me. (Galatians 2:20 NIV)

Next, read Galatians 2:16. The KJV passage indicates that Jesus Christ is both the source of our faith and the object of our faith. There is a clear distinction in the passage between the faith “**of**” Jesus and the faith “**in**” Jesus. The passage reveals that the faith “**of**” Christ is the reason we have faith “**in**” Christ. Our Justification is by the faith “**of**” Christ. We believe “**in**” Jesus, because we have the faith “**of**” Jesus. Jesus is both the object of our faith and the source of our faith. The faith supplied by Jesus is the means of our justification. Jesus has done it all! The passage refers to the source of our faith as being “**of**” Christ in two separate clauses. The editors of the NIV removed both references to the faith “**of**” Christ; they end up repeating faith “**in**” Christ 3 times.

KJV

Knowing that a man is not justified by the works of the law, but **by the faith of Jesus Christ**, even we have **believed in Jesus Christ**, that we might be **justified by the faith of Christ**, and not by the works of the law: for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified. (Galatians 2:16 KJV)

NIV

know that a man is not justified by observing the law, but **by faith in Jesus Christ**. So we, too, have put our **faith in Christ Jesus** that we may be justified by **faith in Christ** and not by observing the law, because by observing the law no one will be justified. (Galatians 2:16 NIV)

The Galatians 2:16 passage in the NIV excises Christ as the source of our faith. In the NIV it is all up to man; Christ is out of the picture, except as the object of faith. The object of faith in the NIV is a different Jesus from the true Jesus of the gospel; the NIV Jesus is helpless Arminian Jesus. He is not the source of faith. People are being deceived into believing another gospel (an anti-gospel) with a different Jesus from the true omnipotent Jesus. Their Jesus is a pathetic helpless Jesus, who is reliant upon the weak and enslaved will of man. “For if he that cometh preacheth **another Jesus**, whom we have not preached, or *if* ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with *him*.” (2 Corinthians 11:4 KJV) “**I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another gospel**: Which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ. **But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed**. As we said before, so say I now again, **If any *man* preach any other**

gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed.” (Galatians 1:6-9 KJV) The NIV removes the grace of Christ and replaces it with a cursed free will gospel!

Every passage which describes the “faith **of**” Jesus Christ has been changed in the NIV to read “faith **in**” Jesus Christ, or otherwise obscured by other language. *See, e.g.,* Galatians 3:22, 5:22; Ephesians 3:12; Philippians 3:9; James 2:1, and Revelation 14:12. It is clear that the NIV has an Armenian agenda. For example in Revelation 14:12 we read: “Here is the patience of the saints: here are they that keep the commandments of God, and **the faith of Jesus.**” is changed in the NIV to: “This calls for patient endurance on the part of the saints who obey God's commandments and **remain faithful to Jesus.**” Notice how nicely the NIV fits in with the Arminian view that salvation can be lost, and so one must “remain faithful to Jesus.” The NIV Revelation 14:12 passage completely obscures the description of faith as “the faith **of** Jesus.”

Colossians 2:12 is clear, faith is by the operation of God. The NIV, however, hides that fact from the reader. According to the NIV, you are raised with Christ through “your” faith. “The faith **of** the operation of God” is changed in the NIV to “**your** faith **in** the power of God.”

KJV
Buried with him in baptism, wherein also ye **are risen with him through the faith of the operation of God**, who hath raised him from the dead. (Colossians 2:12 KJV)

NIV
having been buried with him in baptism and **raised with him through your faith in the power of God**, who raised him from the dead. (Colossians 2:12 NIV)

Will Kinney reveals how the NIV, and the other modern bible versions, dilute the true gospel of grace and promote a devilish Arminian anti-gospel:

Much of modern Christianity pictures God as a grandfatherly figure wishing so badly that his errant creatures would heed his pleadings and decide of their own free will to choose to believe and cast their vote for God. For those of us who have been granted by our gracious Lord to see the great truths of election and sovereign grace, we should be greatly concerned to see how many of these truths have been diluted in the new bible versions.⁷³

* * *

There is a subtle twisting of God's inspired words taking place in many modern versions in how they are rendering the phrase "respecteth not persons". This is so subtle, that I believe most Christians have not noticed it. The change in meaning produced by versions like the NKJV, NIV, and NASB unfortunately fits in with so much of modern, popular theology, that many would actually consider it to be an improvement over the KJB's reading. It fits the philosophy of the natural mind of man.

The concept that "God has created all men equal" does not come from the Holy Bible. God obviously has not created all men equal, nor does He deal with every single individual or nation in what seems to us as a fair and impartial manner. Many have become so influenced in their thinking by the reasoning of the world, that they cannot discern this obvious truth.

God has created, formed and made each of us. Yet He has not given to all equal intelligence, good looks, physical skills, nor spiritual gifts. "He divideth to every man severally as He will." Exodus 4:11 tells us "And the LORD said unto him, Who hath made man's mouth? or who maketh the dumb, or deaf, or the seeing, or the blind? have not I the LORD?".

Not all are born in a country which even has the word of God in its culture, or where it would be openly taught and encouraged. Psalm 147:19,20 "He sheweth his word unto Jacob, his statutes and his judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any nation: and as for his judgments, they have not known them. Praise ye the LORD." Some are born in abject poverty, disease and ignorance, while others are blessed with abundant crops, education and families that care for them. "The rich and poor meet together: the LORD is the maker of them all." Proverbs 22:2.

The phrase "to accept the persons of men" or "to respect persons" does not mean, as the modern versions have translated it, "to show partiality" or "to show favoritism". One of the chief arguments of the Arminian side against the doctrine of election is: "God does not show partiality or favoritism, so election cannot be true." The new bibles are reinforcing this fallacious argument.

Not to show partiality is to treat all men equally; and this God does not do, as His word clearly testifies. Daniel Webster's 1828 dictionary defines "respector of persons" as a person who regards the external circumstances of others in his judgment, and suffers his opinions to be biased by them. God's dealings with a man are not based on outward appearance, position, rank, wealth or nationality. Rather, His own sovereign purpose and pleasure of His will are the only deciding factors.

We are told in Deuteronomy 7:6-8 "For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth. The LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people: for ye were the fewest of all people: But because the LORD loved you". Deuteronomy 10: 14-17 "Behold, the heaven and the heaven of heavens is the LORD'S thy God, the earth also, with all that therein is. Only the LORD had a delight in thy fathers to love them, and he chose their seed after them, even you above all people, as it is this day." Verse 17 "For the LORD thy God is God of gods, and Lord of lords, a

great God, a mighty, and a terrible, which REGARDETH NOT PERSONS, nor taketh reward." Here both election and not regarding persons are used in the same context.

God says He chose only the fathers (Abraham, Isaac and Jacob) and their seed to be His people, and not the others. That He "regardeth not persons" means that He does this, not on the basis of their nationality, nor their good moral character (for they were a stiffnecked and rebellious people), but because it was His good pleasure to do so. . . . [T]he NKJV, NIV and NASB have "shows no partiality". If God chose Israel to be His people, and not the others, is not this showing partiality?

Deut. 14:1,2 "Ye are the children of the LORD your God...and the LORD hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, above all the nations that are upon the earth." Why did not God choose the other nations to be his children and to know his laws? Isn't this showing partiality or favoritism?

One verse among the hundreds that have been messed up by the NKJV, NIV and NASB is 2 Samuel 14:14. Here Joab saw that king David's heart was toward his son Absalom. So Joab sends a wise woman to speak to the king. In verse 14 she says: "For we must needs die, and are as water spilt on the ground, which cannot be gathered up again: NEITHER DOTHE GOD RESPECT ANY PERSON: yet doth he devise means, that his banished be not expelled from him." In other words, we all must die, whether rich, poor, Jew, Gentile, man or woman, king or servant; God does not look at our social station and on this basis exclude some from death.

* * *

[M]any bibles, including the NKJV, NIV and NASB have the ridiculous reading of "YET GOD DOES NOT TAKE AWAY LIFE", instead of "neither doth God respect any person". This is a lie and a contradiction. In this very book in chapter 12:15 "the LORD struck the child" of David and Bathsheeba and it died. In I Sam. 2:6 we are told "The LORD killeth, and maketh alive: he bringeth down to the grave, and bringeth up", and in Deuteronomy 32:39 God says "See now that I, even I, am he, and there is no god with me: I kill, and I make alive; I wound, and I heal: neither is there any that can deliver out of my hand."

It is not that the Hebrew will not allow the meaning found in the KJB, that the NKJV, NIV and NASB have so badly mistranslated 2 Samuel 14:14. They all likewise have translated these same words in other places as they stand in the KJB and others.

This phrase "no respecter of persons" is found six times in the New Testament, and every time the modern versions have distorted the true meaning. Romans 2:11, Ephesians 6:9, Colossians 3:25, James 2:1 and 9, and Acts 10:34. In each case it has to do with not receiving the face, outward position, nationality or social rank of another. But God does not treat all people the same, nor are we told to do so either. We are to withdraw from some, avoid, exclude, reject, separate from, and not cast our pearls before others. Most importantly, God Himself chose His elect people in Christ before the foundation of the world and "of the SAME LUMP" makes one vessel unto honour and another unto dishonour - Romans 9:21. This is definitely showing partiality, but it is not respecting persons.

Romans 2:11 says "For there is no respect of persons with God." . . . But the NKJV, NASB say "no partiality" and the NIV says "not show favoritism". The Worldwide English N.T. says: "God does not love some people more than others". Yet this very book declares in Romans 9 "For the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works but of him that calleth...Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated...I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy...So then it is not of him that willeth, nor of him that runneth, but of God that sheweth mercy...Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will he hardeneth."

Please consider the true meaning of the phrase "no respecter of persons" and contrast it with the modern rendering. I hope you will see that it is not the same at all. Only the KJB contains the whole truth of the counsel of God.⁷⁴

The publishers of the new bible versions claim that their bibles are based on the oldest available manuscripts. First, the oldest available manuscripts are available because they were not used. The reason they were not used is because they were obviously corrupt, and God's church refused to use them. The manuscripts during the early church era were used, and consequently they wore out, necessitating that they be freshly recopied. Because they were needed by the early church, they were duplicated and disseminated. The number of the available accurate New testament transcripts outnumber the corrupt version by approximately 100 to 1. The accurate manuscripts are frequently oft used text. There has been a recent discovery of a small fragment of the earliest known New Testament manuscript. That manuscript was dated 66 A.D. using a high magnification device and the epifluorescent confocal laser scanning technique. The fragment contains Matthew 26:22 with the Greek phrase "*kekastos auton*" which is accurately translated into English in the King James Holy Bible as "every one of them."⁷⁵ The NIV and NASB bibles used a corrupt Greek manuscript that has the Greek phrase "*heis hekastos*," which is translated "each one" in the NASB or "one after the other" in the NIV.⁷⁶ Again, the evidence proves the accuracy of the King James Holy Bible.

6. Eternal Punishment For Tampering With God's Word

God takes the misuse of his name very seriously, but it is even more serious to tamper with God's word.

God's name is so exalted that one should not even say his name unless one is talking about him or praying to him.

Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God in vain; for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain. (Exodus 20:7 AV)

God's name is so precious that the biblical penalty for blaspheming his name is death.

And he that blasphemeth the name of the LORD, he shall surely be put to death, *and* all the congregation shall certainly stone him: as well the stranger, as he that is born in the land, when he blasphemeth the name *of the LORD*, shall be put to death. (Leviticus 24:16 AV)

God, however, holds his word in even higher esteem than even his name.

[T]hou hast magnified thy word above all thy name. (Psalms 138:2 AV)

God has warned us not to tamper with his Holy word.

Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish *ought* from it, that ye may keep the commandments of the LORD your God which I command you. (Deuteronomy 4:2 AV)

What thing soever I command you, observe to do it: thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish from it. (Deuteronomy 12:32 AV)

Every word of God *is* pure: he *is* a shield unto them that put their trust in him. Add thou not unto his words, lest he reprove thee, and thou be found a liar. (Proverbs 30:5-6 AV)

If the penalty for blaspheming God's name is death, what do you suppose the penalty for altering God's word would be? The Bible tells us that it is eternal damnation in hell.

For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and *from* the things which are written in this book. (Revelation

22:18-19 AV)

The writers and promoters of the new bible versions should reflect on what God has said in the above passage, their eternal souls are at stake.

7. Adding Man's Tradition to God's Word

Not only has Satan written his own bibles and passed them off as the word of God, but he has added traditions of men to the bible. In his Roman Catholic Church he has called that combination of man's tradition and God's word "the word of god." Satan wants people to consider him God so he has grafted his words, which he calls tradition, onto the word of God. With this slight of hand he has deceived people into following his devilish doctrines.

Sacred Tradition and Sacred Scripture make up a single sacred deposit of the Word of God. *CATECHISM OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH*, § 97, 1994.

[T]he church, to whom the transmission and interpretation of Revelation is entrusted, **does not derive her certainty about all revealed truths from the holy Scriptures alone. Both Scripture and Tradition must be accepted and honored with equal sentiments of devotion and reverence.** *Id.* at § 82 (emphasis added).

What is at root of the traditions of the Roman Catholic Church? It is the Jewish Cabala. Cabala is a Hebrew word, which literally translated means "tradition." Nesta Webster in her classic book *Secret Societies and Subversive Movements* explained how the Jewish theology of the Cabala was introduced into the Roman Catholic Church by Pope Sixtus IV (1471-1484).

It was likewise from a Florentine Jew, Alemanus or Datylus that Pico della Mirandola, the fifteenth-century mystic, received instructions in the Cabala and imagined that he had discovered in it the doctrines of Christianity. This delighted Pope Sixtus IV, who thereupon ordered Cabalistic writings to be translated into Latin for the use of divinity students.⁷⁷

Jesus criticized the Pharisees for their religious traditions. Those traditions were oral traditions at that time. Later they were memorialized in the Talmud and the Cabala. The Cabala and the Talmud today span numerous volumes. Jesus called the pharisees hypocrites, who masqueraded as religious men, but who were in reality irreligious frauds.

Then came to Jesus scribes and Pharisees, which were of Jerusalem, saying, Why do thy disciples transgress the tradition of the elders? for they wash not their hands when they eat bread. But he answered and said unto them, **Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition?** For God commanded, saying, Honour thy father and mother: and, He that curseth father or

mother, let him die the death. But ye say, Whosoever shall say to *his* father or *his* mother, *It is* a gift, by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me; And honour not his father or his mother, *he shall be free*. **Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition. Ye hypocrites**, well did Esaias prophesy of you, saying, This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoureth me with *their* lips; but their heart is far from me. But **in vain they do worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men.** (Matthew 15:1-9 AV)

Magic and occult mysticism runs throughout the Cabala. Judith Weill, a professor of Jewish mysticism stated that magic is deeply rooted in Jewish tradition, but the Jews are reticent to acknowledge it and don't even refer to it as magic.⁷⁸ Gershom Scholem, Professor of Kabbalah at Hebrew University in Jerusalem, admitted that the Cabala contains a great deal of black magic and sorcery, which he explained involves invoking the powers of devils to disrupt the natural order of things.⁷⁹ Professor Scholem also stated that there are devils who are in submission to the Talmud; in the Cabala these devils are called *shedim Yehuda'im*.⁸⁰ That is why Jesus said to the Jews: **“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.”** John 8:44. The bible states clearly that the magic arts are an abomination to the Lord.

There shall not be found among you *any one* that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, *or* that useth divination, *or* an observer of times, or an enchanter, or a witch, Or a charmer, or a consulter with familiar spirits, or a wizard, or a necromancer. For all that do these things *are* an abomination unto the LORD: and because of these abominations the LORD thy God doth drive them out from before thee. (Deuteronomy 18:10-12 AV)

The Cabala, like the Talmud, graphically blasphemes Jesus. For example, in Zohar III, 282a, the Cabala refers to Jesus as a dog who resides among filth and vermin.⁸¹

There is a clear parallel between the traditions of the pharisees of old and those of modern Roman Catholic priestcraft. Recall, that to add tradition to God's word is rebellion against God's command that nothing be added or taken away from his words. Revelations 22:18-19. The Holy Bible warns us about those who would attempt to turn us away from Christ to follow the traditions of men.

Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, **after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world**, and not after Christ. (Colossians 2:8 AV)

Wherefore if ye be dead with Christ from the rudiments of the world, why, as though living in the world, are ye subject to ordinances, (Touch not; taste not; handle not; Which all are to perish with the using;) after the commandments and doctrines of men? Which things have indeed a shew of

wisdom in will worship, and humility, and neglecting of the body; not in any honour to the satisfying of the flesh. (Colossians 2:20-23 AV)

He answered and said unto them, Well hath Esaias prophesied of you hypocrites, as it is written, This people honoureth me with *their* lips, but their heart is far from me. **Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men. For laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men,** as the washing of pots and cups: and many other such like things ye do. And he said unto them, Full well ye **reject the commandment of God, that ye may keep your own tradition.** (Mark 7:6-9 AV)

Making the word of God of none effect through your tradition, which ye have delivered: and many such like things do ye. (Mark 7:13 AV)

Jesus said: “I am the bread of life: he that cometh to me shall never hunger; and he that believeth on me shall never thirst.” (John 6:35 AV) Very simply, Jesus promised salvation to all who believed on him. Adding any other requirement to faith in Jesus corrupts the gospel, resulting in the bread of death rather than the bread of life.

Jesus warned his disciples to beware of the doctrine of the religious leaders of their time. Jesus compared their doctrine to leaven. Only a little leaven of man made rules works its way through the whole loaf and corrupts God’s pure doctrine. The leaven of today’s religious leaders is no different, the leaven of tradition corrupts God’s pure word. Man’s tradition has turned the Bread of Salvation into spiritual poison killing the souls of those who eat of the corrupted loaf.

Then Jesus said unto them, Take heed and beware of the leaven of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees. And they reasoned among themselves, saying, *It is* because we have taken no bread. *Which* when Jesus perceived, he said unto them, O ye of little faith, why reason ye among yourselves, because ye have brought no bread? Do ye not yet understand, neither remember the five loaves of the five thousand, and how many baskets ye took up? Neither the seven loaves of the four thousand, and how many baskets ye took up? How is it that ye do not understand that I spake *it* not to you concerning bread, that ye should beware of the leaven of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees? **Then understood they how that he bade *them* not beware of the leaven of bread, but of the doctrine of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees.** (Matthew 16:6-12 AV)

A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump. (Galatians 5:9 AV)

God wants us to purge out the leaven of man’s tradition.

Your glorying *is* not good. Know ye not that a little leaven leaveneth the whole lump? **Purge out therefore the old leaven, that ye may be a new lump, as ye**

are unleavened. For even Christ our passover is sacrificed for us: Therefore let us keep the feast, not with old leaven, neither with the leaven of malice and wickedness; but with the unleavened *bread* of sincerity and truth. (1 Corinthians 5:6-8 AV)

Man's tradition requires works to earn salvation. Salvation, however, is by God's Grace through faith alone on the completed work of Jesus Christ, who paid for all of our sins on the cross. Good works flow from salvation, good works cannot earn salvation.

For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: *it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast. For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.* (Ephesians 2:8-10 AV)

Endnotes

1.LES GARRETT, WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?, p. 16 (1982); *See also*, COLLIER'S ENCYCLOPEDIA, volume 22, p. 563.

2.*Id.*

3.DR. LAWRENCE DUNEGAN, NEW ORDER OF BARBARIANS (1990), <http://www.thewinds.org/library/order1.html> (current as of March 24, 2002).

4.G. A. RIPLINGER, NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS, p. 141-148 (1993).

5.GERARDUS D. BOUW, GEOCENTRICITY, p. 120 (1992).

6.*Id.*

7.LES GARRETT, WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?, p. 82 (1982).

8.*Id.*

9.SAMUEL C. GIPP, AN UNDERSTANDABLE HISTORY OF THE BIBLE, p. 70 (1987).

10.*Id.*

11.*Id.* at p. 71.

12.*Id.* at p. 70.

13.*Id.* at p. 71.

14.*Id.* at p. 70.

15.*Id.* at p. 71.

16.*Id.*

17.*Id.* at p. 72.

18.LES GARRETT, WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?, p. 151 (1982).

19.LES GARRETT, WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?, p. 151 (1982).

20.LES GARRETT, WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?, p. 151 (1982).

21.G.A. RIPLINGER, NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS, p. 433 (1993), quoting DEAN BURGON, THE REVISION REVISED.

22.SAMUEL C. GIPP, AN UNDERSTANDABLE HISTORY OF THE BIBLE, p. 82 (1987).

23.SAMUEL C. GIPP, AN UNDERSTANDABLE HISTORY OF THE BIBLE, p. 116-130 (1987).

24.*Id.*

25.*Id.* at 126-29.

26.*Id.* at 131-68.

27.*Id.*

28.*Id.*

29.*Id.*

30.*Id.*

31.*Id.*

32.*Id.* at p. 405.

33.*Id.* at p. 400.

34.*Id.*

35.*Id.* at p. 406.

36.G.A. RIPLINGER, NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS, p. 435 (1993).

37.*Id.* at p. 432.

38.G. A. RIPLINGER, THE LANGUAGE OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE, p. 66 (1998).

39.*Id.* at p. 132 (quoting *Carlo Martini, In the Thick of the Ministry*, p. 42, the Liturgical Press, Collegeville, Minn., 1990).

40.G.A. RIPLINGER, BLIND GUIDES, p. 19.

41.G.A. RIPLINGER, BLIND GUIDES, p. 19.

42.G.A. RIPLINGER, BLIND GUIDES, p. 19.

43.DONALD WAITE, DEFENDING THE KING JAMES BIBLE, pp. 241-242.

44.*Id.* at p. 159.

45. JAMES H. SON, *THE NEW ATHENIANS*, p. 96 (1992).
46. G. A. RIPLINGER, *THE LANGUAGE OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE*, p. 114 (1998).
47. G. A. RIPLINGER, *NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS*, p. 2 (1993).
48. G. A. RIPLINGER, *THE LANGUAGE OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE*, p. 128 (1998).
49. *Id.*
50. *Id.*
51. *Id.*
52. *Moody Monthly*, June 1982, back cover.
53. <http://www.kj21.com/>.
54. <http://www.whidbey.net/~dcloud/fbns/21st.htm>.
55. <http://www.kj21.com/>.
56. LES GARRETT, *WHICH BIBLE CAN WE TRUST?*, p. 49 (1982).
57. *See* Noah Webster, *THE AMERICAN DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE* (1828); *THE COMPACT EDITION OF THE OXFORD ENGLISH DICTIONARY*, Oxford University Press, at 2400 (1979). *See also*, *THE AMERICAN HERITAGE ILLUSTRATED ENCYCLOPEDIA* (1987).
58. *CREATION*, June-Aug. 1999, Vol. 21, No. 3, at 22.
59. Bobby O'Connor, *The Racism of Evolution Theory*, *CHARLESTON GAZETTE*, June 25, 1998 at P18. (Quoting CHARLES DARWIN, *THE DESCENT OF MAN* (1874)). *See also* Benno C. Schmidt, *Principle and Prejudice: The Supreme Court and Race in the Progressive Era. Part 1: The Heyday of Jim Crow*, 82 *COLUM. L. REV.* 444, 453 (1982).
60. *Id.*
61. Raj Bhopal, *Is Research Into Ethnicity and Health Racist, Unsound, or Important to Science?*, *BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL*, June 14, 1997.
62. Jim Dawson, *'Race' is Social Notion With No Base in Biology, Genetics, Scientists Say*, *STAR TRIBUNE* (Minneapolis, MN), February 20, 1995, at 6A.
63. 481 U.S. 604 (1987).

64.*Id.* at 610 n. 4.

65. Norman Hammond, Archaeology Correspondent, Expert Views Differ on Jesuit's Role in the Piltdown Man forgery, *London Times*, July 15, 1980, http://www.clarku.edu/~piltdown/map_prim_suspects/Teilhard_de_Chardin/Chardin_Prosecution/expertsdiffer.html (website address current as of February 28, 2005).

66. ALBERTO RIVERA, *THE GODFATHERS*, Chick Publications, p. 32, 1982 (quoting The Registers of Boniface VIII, The Vatican Archives, L. Fol. 387 and *THE CATHOLIC ENCYCLOPEDIA*, Encyclopedia Press (1913)).

67. GAIL RIPLINGER, *THE LANGUAGE OF THE KING JAMES*, pp. 47-50 (1998).

68. ALEXANDER HISLOP, *THE TWO BABYLONS*, pp. 103-113 (1916).

69.*Id.*

70. MARSHAL HALL, *THE EARTH IS NOT MOVING*, p. 97 (1991)

71. GERARDUS BOUW, *GEOCENTRICITY*, p. 254-56 (1992).

72. GERARDUS BOUW, *GEOCENTRICITY*, p. 303 (1992).

73. Will Kinney, Calvinism and the King James Bible, http://www.scionofzion.com/calvinism_kjb.htm (web address current as of October 9, 2005).

74. Will Kinney, Calvinism and the King James Bible, http://www.scionofzion.com/calvinism_kjb.htm (web address current as of October 9, 2005).

75. G. A. RIPLINGER, *THE LANGUAGE OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE*, p. xv (1998).

76.*Id.* at p. xv.

77. NESTA WEBSTER, *SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS*, <http://web.archive.org/web/20021005055527/http://www.plausiblefutures.com/text/SS.html> (website address current as of 2-28-05) (citing *Lexicon of Freemasonry*, p. 323).

78. MICHAEL A. HOFFMAN, *JUDAISM'S STRANGE GODS*, at p. 88, (2000).

79. MICHAEL A. HOFFMAN, *JUDAISM'S STRANGE GODS*, at p. 88, (2000).

80. MICHAEL A. HOFFMAN, *JUDAISM'S STRANGE GODS*, at p. 91, (2000).

81. MICHAEL A. HOFFMAN, *JUDAISM'S STRANGE GODS*, at p. 92, (2000).